	Case 3:08-cv-01437-WQH-BLM Documer	nt 4 Filed 09/01/2008	Page 1 of 9
2	Timothy J. Grant, Esq. #122593 Michelle Morelli, Esq. #211940 FREDRICKSON, MAZEIKA & GRANT, LLP 5720 Oberlin Drive San Diego, CA 92121 Tale (858) (42,2002) Free (858) (42,2001)		
4 5 6 7	Tel: (858) 642-2002 • Fax: (858) 642-2001 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Respondent, SUNBELT TOWING, INC. dba WESTERN TOW	ING	
8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9		CT OF CALIFORNIA	
10			
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SUNBELT TOWING, INC. dba WESTERN TOWING, Plaintiff and Respondent, v. ANDREW JACKSON MOORE, Defendant and Movant.	DBA WESTERN TO MEMORANDUM OF AUTHORITIES IN DEFENDANT'S MORE SUMMARY JUDG	Q. Hayes ELT TOWING INC. OWING'S OF POINTS & OPPOSITION TO OTION FOR MENT AND OTION TO QUASH PLAINT AND RDER TO SHOW COMPLAINT
22)	
23		Notice of Removal:	,
24	COMES NOW Plaintiff SUNBELT TOWIN	•	
2526	("WESTERN") and respectfully submits this Oppos		
27	MOORE's ("MOORE") Motion for Summary Judg		·
28	Summons/Complaint and Alternative Order to Show With Prejudice.	v Cause Why the Complai	nt Should Not Dismiss
	POINTS & ATTHODITIES IN SUPPORT OF ORROTTO MS		

I.

STATEMENT OF FACTS/BACKGROUND

A. WESTERN IMPOUNDED MOORE'S VEHICLE AT NAVY BASE PERSONNEL'S REQUEST

On or about December 20, 2007 WESTERN responded to a call from the 32nd Street Naval Base Parking facility requesting the impound of a 1991 Grey Volvo 740, California License No. 5121BDP ("subject vehicle"). *See*, Separate Statement of Undisputed Material Facts ("UMF") at ¶ 1. Base personnel reported the subject vehicle as illegally parked and requested WESTERN impound it. *See*, UMF at ¶ 2. WESTERN responded to the call and upon obtaining the necessary wet signature in conformance with California Vehicle Code § 22658, WESTERN impounded the subject vehicle. *See*, UMF at ¶ 3. The impound of the vehicle was authorized via signature by Mary Milkie, CPL. *See*, UMF at ¶ 3.

B. WESTERN HAS ALREADY OBTAINED A JUDGMENT AGAINST MOORE IN STATE COURT

The vehicle in question was towed and stored at WESTERN's impound lot in Chula Vista. See, UMF at ¶ 4. Upon ascertaining the identity of the registered owner of the vehicle, notice of lien sale was sent to Andrew Jackson MOORE on or about December 26, 2007 via certified mail in compliance with California Civil Code § 3072. See, UMF at ¶ 5. MOORE opposed the lien sale with the DMV. See, UMF at ¶ 6. Thereafter, per written instruction from the DMV, WESTERN also filed a small claims action against MOORE to collect the tow and storage fees amounting to \$2,499.00 at the time of the relevant small claims hearing. See, UMF at ¶ 7. After requesting a continuance of the initially scheduled hearing, MOORE did not show up for a rescheduled small claims hearing on April 25, 2008 and the court granted judgment in favor of WESTERN for the tow/storage fees due, as well as costs of suit in the amount of an additional \$80.00 for a total judgment of \$2,579.See, UMF at ¶ 8. Notice of Entry of Judgment was entered in favor of WESTERN, and against MOORE, on or about May 12, 2008. See, UMF at ¶ 9. The Notice of Entry of Judgment and Notice to Judgment Creditor were received by MOORE on or about June 13, 2008. See, UMF at ¶ 10. The Notice to Judgment Creditor expressly advised MOORE that before he could appeal he would be required to file a Notice of Motion

5

6 8

11 12

13

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

261

to Vacate Judgment and Declaration in support. No such notice of motion has ever been received by WESTERN. See, UMF at ¶ 1.

C. MOORE'S REQUEST FOR TRIAL DE NOVO IN STATE COURT

After the receipt of the notice of entry of judgment and notice to judgment creditor MOORE apparently filed a notice of appeal of the small claims judgment entered against him, requesting trial de novo in the State Superior Court. See, UMF at ¶ 12. Just days prior to the trial de novo, MOORE filed a Notice of Removal with this Court. See, UMF at ¶ 13. When WESTERN appeared at the trial de novo hearing its representative was handed a copy of the Notice, and the State Superior Court informed the parties it could not proceed with the trial de novo with the Notice of Removal pending. See, UMF at ¶ 14. The Court continued the trial de novo, which is presently set for October 3, 2008. See, UMF at ¶ 15.

D. MOORE'S ATTEMPTED REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT & PENDING MOTIONS

On or about August 7, 2008, MOORE filed a Notice of Removal to this Court without citing a State Court Case No. for reference. See, UMF at ¶ 13. Subsequently, on or about August 11, 2008 MOORE filed a "Motion for Summary Judgment and Alternative Motion to Quash Summons and Complaint." See, UMF at ¶ 16.

E. WESTERN'S PENDING MOTION FOR REMAND

In response to MOORE's defective attempt at removal, WESTERN is timely preparing a Motion for Remand to be filed and served on or before September 5, 2008. See, UMF at ¶ 17. This motion was set by the Court to be heard on October 14, 2008 at 11:00 a.m. as the Court did not have any time for hearing prior to that date. See, UMF at ¶ 17. Accordingly, WESTERN is forced to respond to MOORE's incoherent motions in the interim.

F. MOORE'S HISTORY OF ABUSE OF THE FEDERAL COURT SYSTEM

MOORE has a long history in the Federal Courts. A review of the public record evidences MOORE has filed five separate bankruptcy cases in the last 20 years. See, UMF at ¶ 19. In addition, MOORE has been a party to at least 11 civil cases in federal court during that same time period. See, UMF at ¶ 19. Most of these cases were dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, declared moot, and/or remanded back to the state court for handling. See, UMF at ¶ 19. In addition, Mr.

3

4

5

67

8

9

10

11 12

1415

13

17

18

19 20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28 ///

111

MOORE has been a party to numerous state court actions with allegations of civil harassment, mechanic's lien foreclosures and check fraud all being asserted against him. See, UMF at ¶ 20.

II.

ARGUMENT

A. MOORE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IS PROCEDURALLY DEFECTIVE

1. Moore's Motion Was Filed In Violation of FRCP Rule56(a)(1)

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") Rule 56(a)(1) requires that a Motion for Summary not be filed until at least 10 days after the case is pending. MOORE filed his notice of removal of the underlying action between himself and WESTERN on or about August 7, 2008. See, UMF at ¶ 13. His Motion for Summary Judgment was filed on August 11, 2008. See, UMF at ¶ 16. His motion is therefore violative of FRCP Rule 56(a)(1).

2. MOORE's Papers Provide Insufficent Notice, Evidence & Law

A cursory reading of MOORE's papers demonstrate that he has attempted to improperly combine motions together, has not followed established notice requirements, did not provide a statement of the evidence on which his motions are based, and/or any argument showing how the law when applied to the facts presented authorizes the relief sought. *See,* FRCP Rule 7; SD CA Rule 7.1(f).

a. MOORE's Notice of Motion is Deficient

A party seeking summary judgment bears the responsibility of informing the district court and the opposing party of the specific ground for its motion. *Katz v. Children's Hosp. of Orange County* (9th Cir. 1994) 28 F.3d 1520, 1534. MOORE's Notice of Motion(s) is deficient in that he did not specify the nature of the order or relief being sought, and did not state the particular grounds for the issuance of the requested orders. *See*, FRCP Rule 7(b). If the required notice has not been given, the court generally lacks authority to grant the motion. *Employers Ins. Of Wausau v. Petroleum Specialties, Inc.* (6th Cir. 1995) 69 F.3d 98, 105. On this basis alone MOORE's motions should be denied.

4

9 10

8

12 13

11

1415

16

17

18 19

20

2122

2324

26

25

27

b. MOORE's Points & Authorities are Deficient

MOORE's Points and Authorities did not provide a concise statement of the issues presented, nor any statement of relevant facts and controlling law. The failure to do so not only is a procedural violation, but makes it impossible for WESTERN to rationally respond, or meet its burden of stating which facts are at issue/disputed. The moving party's failure to provide a sufficient statement of uncontroverted facts is ground by itself for denial of the motion. *Orr v. Bank of America, NT & SA* (9th Cir. 2002) 285 F.3d 764, 774-775.

c. MOORE Did Not File The Proper Affidavits or Documentary Evidence In
Support of His Motions

The original or copies of supporting declarations and other evidence must be served along with the notice of motion and points and authorities. *See*, FRCP Rule 6(d); SD CA Rule 7.1(f)(2)(a). MOORE did not file any coherent affidavits or documentary evidence in support of his motions. Without having filed any support for his illusive claims, his motions must necessarily be denied.

B. MOORE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT IS SUBSTANTIVELY DEFECTIVE

The party moving for summary judgment has both the initial burden of production and the ultimate burden of persuading the court that there is no genuine issue of material fact. *See*, FRCP Rule 56(c). It is not enough to move for summary judgment with a conclusory assertion that the opposing party has no evidence to prove its case. *Celotex Corp v. Catrett* (1986) 477 U.S. 317, 325.

Here, MOORE has not met his initial burden of production, nor the ultimate burden of persuasion as he has not put any facts in dispute, nor offered any persuasive argument. Conversely, filed concurrently with this Opposition, WESTERN offers authenticated evidence of the prior exercise of jurisdiction by the California Small Claims Court, and the judgment which was already entered against MOORE and in favor of WESTERN. *See*, UMF at ¶¶ 8-9.

C. MOORE'S MOTION TO "QUASH" IS PROCEDURALLY DEFECTIVE

1. Motions to Quash Are Not Permitted in Federal Court

Motions to quash are not permitted in Federal Court. *Crane v. Battelle* (SD CA 1989) 127 F.R.D. 174, 177. Therefore, MOORE's Motion to Quash is inappropriately filed in this action.

16

25

26

22

23

28

Further, even if California Procedure is followed, Defendant MOORE has waived his right to file a motion to quash as he may not file such a motion after the last day of his time to plead, and that day has long past since a judgment has already been entered against MOORE in State Court. *See*, California Code of Civil Procedure ("CCP") § 418.10; UMF at ¶¶ 7-11.

2. MOORE Has Not Specified A Grounds for Dismissal

Presumably, MOORE's "Motion to Quash" is meant to serve as a Rule 12(b)(6) motion, though it seems impossibly unfair to request WESTERN guess at the type of motion MOORE is "intending" to file. Nevertheless, in a good faith effort to provide a response acceptable to this Court, and proceeding under this assumption, MOORE's motion remains procedurally defective because he has not stated any discernable grounds under which he seeks relief. WESTERN is unable to tell from MOORE's Motion what type of dismissal he is seeking, and/or what grounds are the basis for his request. Because of this defective notice, MOORE's motion should be summarily denied.

3. Incorporation by Reference – Section A.2.

WESTERN incorporates all arguments set forth in Section A.2. of these points and authorities as if fully set forth. The referenced argument is equally applicable to the procedural defectiveness of MOORE's Motion to Quash.

D. MOORE'S MOTION TO "QUASH" IS SUBSTANTIVELY DEFECTIVE

If MOORE's Motion to Quash is an attempt to file a Rule 12(b)(6) Motion (again, an assumption at best) it is woefully inadequate. On a 12(b)(6) Motion the court is to decide whether the facts as alleged, if true, would entitle the plaintiff to some form of legal remedy. In this case, WESTERN would ask this Court to take judicial notice of the former judgment already issued by the State Court which conclusively established that WESTERN's complaint, with facts taken as true, was entitled to the relief it sought. See, UMF at ¶ 9.

E. MOORE HAS NO BASIS TO MOVE TO DISMISS A STATE COURT COMPLAINT UPON WHICH JUDGMENT HAS ALREADY BEEN RENDERED

If a state court would give preclusive effect to a judgment rendered by courts of that state, then the Full Faith and Credit Statute (28 U.S.C. § 1738) imports the same consequence to an action in

10

11

12

13 14

16

18

19

20

21

2223

2425

26

2728

federal court based on the same award. McDonald v. City of W. Branch (1984) 466 U.S. 284, 287; Harmon v. Kobrin (In re Harmon) (9th Cir. 2001) 250 F.3d 1240, 1245.

The basic features of California issue preclusion law were restated by the California Supreme Court in *Lucido v. Superior Ct.* (1990) 51 Cal.3d 335, 341-43. Six basic elements must be satisfied before issue preclusion will be applied. Five of the elements are described as "threshold" requirements: (1) identical issue; (2) actually litigated in the former proceeding; (3) necessarily decided in the former proceeding; (4) former decision final and on the merits; and (5) party against whom preclusion sought either the same, or in privity with, party in former proceeding. The sixth element is a mandatory "additional" inquiry into whether imposition of issue preclusion in the particular setting would be fair and consistent with sound public policy; 1 Ann Taylor Schwing, CAL. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES § 15:4 (2005 ed.).

In the present case there can be no dispute that MOORE seeks to have this Court readjudicate the issue of whether he owes the funds due on the judgment already entered by the California Small Claims Court. See, UMF at ¶ 9. This issue was already litigated, and a decision on the merits was issued. See, UMF at ¶ 9. The same parties were involved and MOORE had ample notice and opportunity to participate in the small claims action. See, UMF at ¶ 7-11. Having not done so, he has no basis to now remove the case to Federal Court and seek re-adjudication of the same issue. In addition, even if MOORE did have any right to challenge the judgment previously entered the proper forum to do so would be via trial de novo in the California Superior Courts which has already been set. See, UMF at ¶ 12.

F. MOORE'S MOTION(S) ARE ENTIRELY UNINTELLIGIBLE & IF THE COURT IS NOT INCLINED TO DENY THE MOTIONS OUTRIGHT, CONTINUANCE SHOULD BE GRANTED

If the opposing party's declarations show he or she cannot for reasons stated present by affidavit facts essential to justify the party's opposition the court may deny the motion for summary judgment or continue the hearing to allow additional discovery or make such other order as is just. *See,* FRCP Rule 56(f).

In his Memorandum of Points and Authorities MOORE cites numerous California Rules of Court (e.g., Rule 1230, 1234, 1239) which are repealed rules of Court, now replaced by newly

11 12

10

14

15 16

18

19

17

2021

2223

24 25

2627

numbered statutes not identified by the moving party, making it impossible for WESTERN to determine the grounds for MOORE's motion(s). *See*, MOORE's Points & Authorities ("MOORE's P&As) at pp. 1-2. In addition, the Motion to Quash Rules cited have no basis for any motion in federal court, and are family law rules not applicable to standard civil actions or small claims complaints such as the one at issue here. *See*, *e.g.*, Family Law Rule 5.121; MOORE's P&As at pp. 1-2.

MOORE goes on to cite numerous statutes (some of which are in effect and some of which are not) regarding marital dissolution, custody orders and full, faith and credit for sister state judgments. *See*, MOORE's P&As a pp. 3, 6, 8. Nowhere does MOORE state any facts of the case, nor argument about how any of the statutes and rules he has cited apply to the facts of the case. The non-sensical and irrational nature of MOORE's papers make it impossible for WESTERN to provide an intelligent response. To that end, WESTERN requests if this Court has any inclination to grant one or more of MOORE's Motions, that it continue the hearing on same to provide WESTERN additional time to respond to MOORE's motions pursuant to the authority granted under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and that the Court Order MOORE to amend his motions to meet the Federal and local procedural requirements.

G. WESTERN REQUESTS THE COURT GRANT SANCTIONS FOR MOORE'S FRIVOLOUS FILING OF THESE MOTIONS & FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE LOCAL RULES

Failure to comply with valid local rules may properly be punished by the imposition of sanctions. *Miranda v. Southern Pacific Trans. Co.* (9th Cir. 1983) 710 F.2d 516, 521. The party presenting a document that does not comply with the FRCP or local rules may be sanctioned for violoating the rules, and may be required to refile in accordance with the rules. *Smith v. Frank* (9th Cir. 1991) 923 F.2d 139, 142. In addition, FRCP Rule 11 provides that by signing a motion the presenting party certifies that the pleading is warranted by existing law or by non-frivolous argument for extension or modification of the law, and has evidentiary support for all factual contentions or denials.

Here, MOORE cites out-dated law which has been repealed and law that is entirely inapplicable to the underlying case. MOORE does not attempt to set forth any facts or argument, and has provided no evidentiary support for his contentions – which WESTERN is not even able to understand. Given MOORE's long history of litigating in the Federal Court system his it is difficult to believe that he

27

28

would not have been made aware of the Federal Court filing requirements long ago. His history of abusive use of the process only serves to bolster WESTERN's position that MOORE must be sanctioned for his frivolous conduct in order to prevent further similar conduct against others. In addition, because MOORE consciously choose not to participate in the underlying small claims action until after notice of entry of judgment was rendered, his now inappropriate attempt to have the same issue relitigated in Federal Court, without a scintilla of reasoning for the attempt at removal is particularly egregious.

MOORE's frivolous conduct must be sanctioned by the Court for wasting both WESTERN's time and this Court's valuable resources.

DATED: September _____, 2008

FREDRICKSON, MAZEIKA & GRANT, LLP

Timothy J. Grant, Esq.

Michelle I Morelli, Esq. Attorneys for Respondent,

SUNBELT TOWING, INC.

dba WESTERN TOWING

FREDRICKSON, MAZEIKA & GRANT, LLP

5720 Oberlin Drive San Diego, CA 92121 858/642-2002 Fax 858/642-2001 Timothy J. Grant, Esq. Cal. Bar No. 122593 Michelle I. Morelli, Esq., Cal Bar No. 211940

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

///

|///

Attorneys for Plaintiff, SUNBELT TOWING, INC. dba WESTERN TOWING

SUNBELT TOWING, INC. dba WESTERN

UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 08 CV 1437 WQH BLM

TOWING, Plaintiff, v. ANDREW JACKSON MOORE, Defendant.	STATEMENT OF COMPOSITION TO IT MOORE'S MOTIO JUDGMENT, OR IT ALTERNATIVE M	ELT TOWING INC. WING'S SEPARATE GENUINE ISSUES IN DEFENDANT N FOR SUMMARY N THE OTION TO QUASH LAINT, OR IN THE RDER TO SHOW
	Hearing: Time: Notice of Removal:	September 15, 2008 11:00 AM August 7, 2008
Plaintiff SUNBELT TOWING, INC. d	– ba WESTERN TOWING	("WESTERN") hereby
submits the following Separate Statement of Disp Defendant ANDREW JACKSON MOORE's (

Alternative Motion to Quash Summons/Complaint and Alternative Order To Show Cause Why The

Complaint Should Not Dismiss With Prejudice (collectively hereinafter, "the Motions").

UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS

UNDIS	PUTED MATERIAL FACTS:		SUPPORTING EVIDENCE:
1	On or about December 20, 2007 WESTERN responded to a call from the 32 nd Street Naval Base Parking facility requesting the impound of a 1991 Grey Volvo 740, California License No. 5121BDP ("subject vehicle").	1	See, Declaration of Lou Duchene ("Duchene Decl.") at ¶ 2; Exhibit A, a true and correct copy of Western's Impound Slip; Exhibit B, a true and correct copy of Western's Vehicle Impound Report.
2	Base personnel reported the subject vehicle as illegally parked and requested WESTERN impound it.	2	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶ 2; Exh. A-B.
3	WESTERN responded to the call and upon obtaining the necessary wet signature in conformance with California Vehicle Code § 22658, WESTERN impounded the subject vehicle. The impound of the vehicle was authorized via signature by Mary Milkie, CPL.	3	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶ 2; Exh. A-B.
4	The vehicle in question was towed and stored at WESTERN's impound lot in Chula Vista.	4	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶ 3.
5	Upon ascertaining the identity of the registered owner of the vehicle, notice of lien sale was sent to Andrew Jackson MOORE on or about December 26, 2007 via certified mail in compliance with California Civil Code § 3072.	5	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶ 3; Exhibit C, a true and correct copy of the Notice of Pending Lien Sale served on MOORE via certified mail, with attached receipt.
6	MOORE opposed the lien sale with the DMV.	6	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶ 4; Exhibit D, a true and correct copy of Written Instructions to Western from DMV.
7	Thereafter, per written instruction from the DMV, WESTERN also filed a small claims action against MOORE to collect the tow and storage fees amounting to \$2,499.00 at the time of the relevant small claims hearing.	7	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶ 4; Exh. D; Exhibit E, a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Claim and Order to go to Small Claims Court.
8	After requesting a continuance of the initially scheduled hearing, MOORE did not show up for a rescheduled small claims hearing on April 25, 2008 and the court granted judgment in favor of WESTERN for the tow/storage fees	8	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶¶ 6-7; Exhibit F, a true and correct copy of Moore's Request for Continuance of Small Claims Trial.

	Case	3:08-cv-01437-WQH-BLM Documen	t 4-2	Filed 09/01/2008 Page 3 of 4	
1		due, as well as costs of suit in the amount of an additional \$80.00 for a total judgment of \$2,579.			
2 3 4	9	A Notice of Entry of Judgment was filed on or about May 12, 2008, against MOORE and in favor of WESTERN.	9	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶ 8; Exhibit G, a true and correct copy of the Notice of Entry of Judgment and Notice to the Judgment Debtor.	
5 6	10	The Notice of Entry of Judgment and Notice to Judgment Creditor were received by MOORE on or about June 13, 2008.	10	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶ 8; Exh. G.	
7 8 9 10	11	The Notice to Judgment Creditor expressly advised MOORE that before he could appeal he would be required to file a Notice of Motion to Vacate Judgment and Declaration in support. No such notice of motion has ever been received by WESTERN.	11	See, Duchene Dec. at ¶ 8; Exh. G.	
11 12 13 14 15	12	After the receipt of the notice of entry of judgment and notice to judgment creditor MOORE apparently filed a notice of appeal of the small claims judgment entered against him, requesting trial de novo in the State Superior Court. WESTERN received notice from the Court that a trial de novo had been set for August 8, 2008.	12	See, Duchene Dec. at ¶ 9; Exhibit H, a true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing received from the State Court.	
16 17 18	13	Just days prior to the trial de novo, MOORE filed a Notice of Removal with this Court, on or about August 7, 2008.	13	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶¶ 10-11; Exhibit I, a true and correct copy of the Civil Cover Sheet and Notice of Removal State Court Proceeding filed by Moore.	
19 20 21 22	14	When WESTERN appeared at the trial de novo hearing its representative was handed a copy of the Notice, and the State Superior Court informed the parties it could not proceed with the trial de novo with the Notice of Removal pending.	14	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶¶ 10-11; Exh. I.	
23 24	15	Based on the Notice of Removal provided by MOORE, the Court continued the trial de novo, which is presently set for October 3, 2008.	15	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶¶ 10-11, 13; Exh. I; Exhibit J, a true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing received from the State Court.	
252627	16	On or about August 11, 2008 MOORE filed a "Motion for Summary Judgment and Alternative Motion to Quash Summons and Complaint" with this Court	16	See, Duchene Decl. at ¶ 12, and Court records on file herein.	
28	17	Court. In response to MOORE's defective attempt at removal, WESTERN is	17	See, Declaration of Michelle I. Morelli ("Morelli Decl.") at ¶ 2.	
	 SEPARA	TE STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF OPPO TO M	3 SJ, et al.	08 CV 1437 WQH BLI	М

	Case	3:08-cv-01437-WQH-BLM Docum	ent 4-2	Filed 09/01/2008	Page 4 of 4
	j				
1 2 3 4	T COMMON LANGUAGE.	timely preparing a Motion for Remark to be filed and served on or befor September 5, 2008. This motion we set by the Court to be heard on Octobe 14, 2008 at 11:00 a.m. as the Court of not have any time for hearing prior that date.	ore vas oer lid		
5	18	A review of the public record evidence		See, Morelli Decl. at	¶ 3; Exhibit K, a
6 7	- VALVA	MOORE has filed five separa bankruptcy cases in the last 20 years. addition, MOORE has been a party to least 11 civil cases in federal couduring that same time period.	In at	true and correct co record print out of a Defendant Andrew Ja	actions involving
8 9	19	Most of these cases were dismissed flack of subject matter jurisdiction declared moot, and/or remanded back	n,	See, Morelli Decl. at ¶	3; Exh. K.
10	20	the state court for handling.	20	g . W . W . S . i	
11	20	In addition, Mr. MOORE has been party to numerous state court action with allegations of civil harassmer	ns	See, Morelli Decl. at true and correct co	py of a public
12	***************************************	mechanic's lien foreclosures and chec fraud all being asserted against him.	ck	record print out of St involving Andrew Jac	kson Moore.
13		,			
14	DATED	: September, 2008 FR	EDRICKS(ON, MAZEIKA & GRA	NT, LLP
15 16		- (
17		By.	Mighel	le I. Morelli, Esq.	
18			SUNB	eys for Plaintiff, ELA TOWING, INC. di ERN TOWING	oa
19			11 201	Didt 10 Wht	
20					
21					
22					
23					The second secon
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	SEPARAT	TE STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF OPPO TO	4 MSJ, et al.	(08 CV 1437 WQH BLM

20

17

25

26

23

License No. 5121BDP ("subject vehicle"). Base personnel reported the subject vehicle as illegally parked and requested that WESTERN impound it. WESTERN responded to the call and upon obtaining the necessary wet signature in conformance with California Vehicle Code § 22658, WESTERN impounded the subject vehicle. The impound of the subject vehicle was authorized by Mary Milkie, CPL. A true and correct copy of WESTERN's Impound Slip and WESTERN's Vehicle Impound Report for the subject vehicle are submitted herewith as "Exhibit A" and "Exhibit B", respectively.

- 3. The subject vehicle was towed and stored at WESTERN's impound lot in Chula Vista. Upon ascertaining the identity of the registered owner of the vehicle, notice of lien sale was sent to ANDREW JACKSON MOORE ("MOORE") on or about December 26, 2007 via certified mail in compliance with California Civil Code § 3072. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Lien Sale served on Mr. MOORE is submitted herewith as "Exhibit C".
- 4. MOORE filed opposition to the lien sale with the Department of Motor Vehicles ("DMV"), and subsequently the DMV provided WESTERN with a written list of options, including filing a small claims action against MOORE to recoup sums due for the impound and storage of his vehicle. A true and correct copy of the Written Instructions WESTERN received from the DMV is submitted herewith as "Exhibit D".
- 5. Pursuant to the options provided by the DMV, WESTERN also filed a small claims action against MOORE to collect the tow and storage fees amounting to \$2,499.00, and hearing was set for March 18, 2008. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Claim and Order to go to Small Claims Court is submitted herewith as "Exhibit E".
- 6. On or about February 29, 2008, after receiving Plaintiff's Claim and Order, MOORE filed a request for continuance of small claims trial, and the trial was continued to April 25, 2008. A true and correct conformed copy of MOORE's Request for Reset or Continuance of Small Claims Trial is submitted herewith as "Exhibit F".
- 7. On April 25, 2008 I attended the Small Claims Trial on behalf of WESTERN. MOORE failed to appear at the hearing, and the court granted judgment in favor of WESTERN for the tow and storage fees due, as well as costs of suit in the amount of \$80.00, for a total judgment of

- 8. A Notice of Entry of Judgment was filed on or about May 12, 2008. Mr. Moore received the Notice of Entry of Judgment on or about June 13, 2008. The Notice to the Judgment Creditor that accompanied the Notice of Entry of Judgment specifically advised MOORE that before he could appeal, he would be required to file a Notice of Motion to Vacate Judgment and Declaration. No such notice of Motion has ever been received by WESTERN. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Entry of Judgment, and Notice to the Judgment Debtor (signed by MOORE) are submitted herewith collectively as "Exhibit G".
- 9. Thereafter, WESTERN received Notice of Hearing indicating that MOORE had filed a notice of appeal of the small claims judgment, and trial de novo had been set in the State Superior Court on August 8, 2008. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing received from the State Court is submitted herewith as "Exhibit H".
- 10. On August 8, 2008 I appeared for trial de novo in the State Superior Court. At that time, MOORE handed the judge some papers and it was my understanding that the judge indicated he could not hear the case at that time because of the Notice of Removal that had been filed by MOORE a day earlier.
- 11. I was then provided papers by MOORE which indicated he had filed a Notice of Removal with this Court on August 7, 2008, one day before the trial de novo. A true and correct copy of the Civil Cover Sheet and Notice of Removal State Court Civil Proceeding filed by MOORE is submitted herewith as "Exhibit I".
- 12. On or about August 12, 2008 I received via mail service a copy of MOORE's "Motion for Summary Judgments and Alternative Motion to Quash Summon/Complaint Alternative Order to Show Cause why the Complaint should not be Dismiss With Prejudice". These documents have been filed with the Court and are not submitted herewith as Exhibits.
- 13. On or about August 26, 2008 I received a Notice of Hearing from the State Superior Court indicating the trial de novo had been rescheduled for October 3, 2008. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing is submitted herewith as "Exhibit J".

I declare, under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is

08/29/2008 13:12 FAX 6192975805

WESTERN-TOWING-PCH

004/004

SUNBELT TOWING, INC. dba WESTERN TOWING v. ANDREW JACKSON MOORE
San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2008-0000586-SC-SC-CTL
United States District Court, Case No. 08 CV 1437 WQH BLM

true and correct, and that this declaration is executed this 29th day of August, 2008 at San Diego, California.

LOU DUCHENE

DECLARATION OF LOU DUCHENE IN SUPPORT OF OPPO TO MSJ & ALT. MTN TO QUASH SUMMONS/COMPLAINT AND ALT. OSC WHY COMPLAINT SHOULD NOT DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE

- of the matters stated herein and if called as witness, could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. In response to MOORE's defective attempt at removal, WESTERN is timely preparing a Motion for Remand to be filed and served on or before September 5, 2008. This motion was set by the Court to be heard on October 14, 2008 at 11:00 a.m. as the Court did not have any

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3. A review of the public record evidences MOORE has filed five separate bankruptcy cases in the last 20 years. In addition, MOORE has been a party to at least 11 civil cases in federal court during that same time period. Most of these cases were dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, declared moot, and/or remanded back to the state court for handling. A true and correct copy of the public record print out from which this information was obtained is submitted herewith as "Exhibit K".

4. In addition, Mr. MOORE has been a party to numerous state court actions with allegations of civil harassment, mechanic's lien foreclosures and check fraud all being asserted against him. A true and correct copy of the public record print out from which this information was obtained is submitted herewith as "Exhibit L".

I declare, under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed this 1st day of September 2008, at San Diego, California.

MICHELLE L'MORELLI

Document 4-5

Filed 09/01/2008

Page 1 of 50

Case 3:08-cv-01437-WQH-BLM

***************************************		or train beautions to the action to ago be a
1	Exhibit B:	A true and correct copy of WESTERN's Vehicle Impound Report dated December 20, 2007;
2 3	<u>Exhibit C</u> :	A true and correct copy of the Notice of Lien Sale served on Mr. MOORE on or about December 26, 2007;
4	<u>Exhibit D</u> :	A true and correct copy of the Written Instructions WESTERN received from the DMV, dated January 15, 2008;
5	<u>Exhibit E</u> :	A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Claim and Order to go to Small Claims Court, dated February 4, 2008;
7	<u>Exhibit F</u> :	A true and correct conformed copy of MOORE's Request for Reset or Continuance of Small Claims Trial, dated February 29, 2008;
9	<u>Exhibit G</u> :	A true and correct copy of the Notice of Entry of Judgment, and Notice to the Judgment Debtor (signed by MOORE June 13, 2008);
10	Exhibit H:	A true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing re: Trial De Novo August 8, 2008, received by WESTERN from the State Court; and Data Sheet for Small
12		Claims Appeals;
13	<u>Exhibit I</u> :	A true and correct copy of the Civil Cover Sheet and Notice of Removal State Court Civil Proceeding filed by MOORE on or about August 7, 2008;
14 15	Exhibit J:	A true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing re: Trial De Novo October 3, 2008 received by WESTERN from the State Court;
16 17	Exhibit K:	A true and correct copy of the Public Record print out regarding Federal Court Actions involving Andrew Jackson Moore;
18	Exhibit L:	A true and correct copy of the Public Record print out regarding State Court Actions involving Andrew Jackson Moore.
19		
20	DATED: Septer	mber, 2008 FREDRICKSON, MAZEIKA & GRANT, LLP
21	·	
22		By: Michelle J. Moretti, Esq.
23 24		Attorney for Plaintiff, SUNBELT TOWING, INC.
25		dba WESTERN TOWING
26		
27		
28		
-		

Case 3:08-cv-01437-WQH-BLM Document 4-5 Filed 09/01/2008 Page 2 of 50

EXHIBIT A

08/28/2008 14:41 FAX 6192975805

WESTERN-TOWING-PCH

2002/008

					, (
Western	Towing	Service 4	[6191,297-	-8K7 1 -57	Totale V	V/T Ref#:	25049
☐ Hold:	1) 49/100	Case#:	722-			Date	12-20-0
Agency:	Ver	ilcle Code:					
Drivor: 34	Truck: 63	Patrolled E	ву:	Truck:	Time C	ff Property	11:33
Address: 82nd 8/	ibebl Ba	se Parki	j bot	Hourly Time; B	eg:	End;_	
	_		IFA	8842			
		Model: _	740 FOR	Color:	(21/02-1		
☐ Fire Lane ☐ Te	enant/Guest	☐ Permit-No/ln	ıvalid/Exp	∐ Handicop	Over Tir	ne Limit	Photo: V One
☐ Accidenț ☐ N	ion-Operable	□ No Street Pa	ırking	Two Spaces	☐ Office !	arking	☐ Polaroid
:	•						7.4
Reason For Dollies: ,	# : ***********************************	****			Character and the second	<u> </u>	<u></u> <u></u>
Agency: Vehicle Code: Clark: Lot: CV Drivor: 34 Truck: 63 Patrolled By: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck: Truck: Truck: Time Off Property: 16:33 Address: BZ Mark Base. Parrolled By: Truck:							
		Vah	icle Condi	lion Report			
P75041	Повет	Previous Dai	mages		/		
	_				<u> </u>	пород (S
- ' '				The state of the s			PENDER Q
1	m phileta				4.00		
	Lonition				N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	and the same	
		Man (Mary 17) For	ا ــ و ــ مدون		El-creits	1	5 6 8
J Mag Wheels	Personal Ite	ms with a. 🛘 Re	moved		UBH-COMM		5 Sapaz
] Mag Wheels] Hub Caps	Personal Ite						5 Sunday
] Mag Wheels] Hub Caps Locked	Personal Iter	7 A			DOO!		5 FEMORE
J Mag Wheels J Hub Caps Locked J Unlocked	Personal Item 1 2 3	70			DOO!		5 FEMORE OF
J Mag Wheels J Hub Caps Locked J Unlocked J Antenna Certity, Under Penalty of P.	Personal Ite: 1. 2. 3. [] Keys			0	VE C		
J Mag Wheels J Hub Caps Locked J Unlocked J Antenna Certify, Under Penalty Of Pr A Vehicle Codo#22658A.	Personal Ite: 1. 2. 3. [J Keys Cally, That I Am To Hareby Autho	fire Owner/Agent Of	Sald Property	0	Hookup:	\$ / 4.	12.400
J Mag Wheels J Hub Caps Locked J Unlocked J Aritenna Certity, Under Penalty Of Pr A Vehicle Godo#22658A. I	Personal Ite: 1. 2. 3. [J Keys Cally, That I Am To Hareby Autho	fire Owner/Agent Of	Sald Property	0	Hookup:	\$ (\$)	12.400
Mag Wheels Hub Caps Locked Unlocked Antenna Certify, Under Fenalty Of Pr A Vehicle Godo#22658A. I Ignature — Owner	Personal Item 1. 2. 3. J Keys Cirilly, That I Am 1 Do Hareby Autho Or Agent—	The Owner/Agent Of Mize Removal Of Abo Private Prope	Sald Properly ove Vehicle, ITY	y & Pursuani To	Hookup: Dolly: Lien:	\$ 6	12.400
] Mag Wheels] Hub Caps [Locked] Unlocked] Antenna Certity, Under Fenalty Of Pi A Vehicle Godo#22658A. I ignature — Owner	Personal Item 1. 2. 3. J Keys Cirilly, That I Am 1 Do Hareby Autho Or Agent—	the Owner/Agent Of Itze Removal Of Abo Private Prope	Sald Froperh nve Vehicle, rty	y & Pursuani To	Hookup: Dolly: Lien:	\$ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	12.400
J Mag Wheels J Hub Caps Locked J Unlocked J Antenna Certity, Under Penalty Of Pr A Vehicle Godo#22658A. I ignature — Owner	Personal Item 1. 2. 3. U Keys Pally, That I Am 1 Do Hareby Author Or Agent—	ine Owner/Agent Of orlize Removal Of Abo Private Prope	Sald Properly nve Vehicle. rity	y & Pusuani To	Hookup: Dolly: Lien: Admin.: NIP: STOP:	\$ \$ 3 \$ \$ 3 \$ \$ 3 \$ \$ 3	12.400
J Mag Wheels J Hub Caps Locked J Unlocked J Antenna Certity, Under Penalty Of Pr A Vehicle Godo#22658A. I ignature — Owner	Personal Item 1. 2. 3. U Keys Pally, That I Am 1 Do Hareby Author Or Agent—	ine Owner/Agent Of orlize Removal Of Abo Private Prope	Sald Properly nve Vehicle. rity	y & Pusuani To	Hookup: Dolly: Lien: Admin.: NIP: STOP: Citation:	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	12.400
J Mag Wheels J Hub Caps Locked J Unlocked J Antenna Certity, Under Fenalty of Pr A Vehicle Codo#22658A. I ighature — Owner rint Name: tle/Agency:	Personal Iter 1. 2. 3. Clikeys Calluy, That I Am Too Hareby Autho Or Agent—	itie Owner/Agent Ot rize Removal Ot Abo Private Prope	Sald Property Property Property Apt#	y & Pursuani Tò SOF Patrol	Hookup: Dolly: Lien: Admin.: AIP: STOP: Citation: Mileage:	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	12.400

EXHIBIT B

08/28/2008 14:42 FAX 6192975805

WESTERN-TOWING-PCH



;	VEHICLE IMPOU	INDMENT R	EPORT		
	PART I - IDI	ENTIFICATIO	N		
1. VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION					<u> </u>
VOLVO 70	<i>O</i> .	c. YEAR	6 COLOR GRAY	VIHICL	e identification no. FA8842M250
O 2 1 8 DP CA	DYEAR 2008	g. MILEAGE	-	IÁ. DECAL	NO. 106
Z. REGISTERED OWNER	Tourist to the last to the las	S. VEHICLE	OPERATOR		<u> </u>
. NAME (Lust First, Middle milial)		E. NAME (LB)	ic, Firsc, Miggie uncie	9	
NOOR (ANDRE W) ADDRESS (Susst Abarment Nymber, Gly, Siz	THE PERSON NAMED IN THE PE				
S. ADDRESS (SUBSE ABACTMAN NUMBER, CITY, SIS	ta and ZIP Gode)	b. ADDRESS	(Street, Aparsmant N	umbar. City. St	ots and EIF Code)
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1	,		
LEMON GROVE, CA	91945	Į			
ORGANIZATION d. TE	ELEPHONE NUMBER	E. ORGANIZA	TION	¢, '	ELEPHONE NUMBER
·	rislude Ares Cods)			(Include Ares Code)
		<u> </u>			
		ESCRIPTION			
REASON FOR IMPOUNDMENT (X all chall a)	<i>ppiyi</i> IDONED		TO VEHICLE		
	ally parked	, E	KAMPLE	E. SHADE	DAMAGED AREA OF VEHICL
pwi Stati				ت با ا	
OTHER (SPRENY)				FEGIL	
		TO 1		J ~ I	
1		b. X ALL THAT			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>		interit Milanimo	达到 地图斯斯图斯	Intact Missing	
CONDITION OF VEHICLE WHEN IMPOUND			nging		BATTERY
	UNLOÇKED		AIRAOR(S)		JACK .
	K UNLOCKED		UG WRÊNCH		RADIO
OTHER (Specily)	TO SOME		'APE DECK R WHEEL/TIRE		SPARE WHEELTIRE
	ļ -		FWHEEL/TIRE		RR WHEEL/TIRE
	ļ-		MEEL COVERS		CB RADIO
LOCATION OF VEHICLE	**************************************			·	
D. 1	, ,				
Parker LoT	54	···			
. DONOTHON OF VEHICLE ACTED SOURCES F	१८९६२ १७ स्टब्स्ट इतिशत्त १ ५ तस् रवस्त,	,			
OK					
Marie Paris I A 2 Marie	HICLE (Assects additional page	es il more sonne	is pergaga		
. PERSONAL PROPERTY CONTAINED IN VE					
OK Personal property contained in ve DAICIOU PAPGES 1	Bock		-4		
. PERSONAL PROPERTY CONTAINED IN VE PAIC: ICU <u>PAFERS</u> REMARKS (Altaco additional pages it more adde	Bock			, ,	***************************************
DARIOU PAPERS.	Bock	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>	
DARIOU PAPERS.	Bock	·			
PANCIOU PAPERS REMARKS (Attach additional pages it more adec	ROCK PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	•		<i>i</i> {	
PAIK. ICU PAPERS RENIARKS (Attach additional pages if more adde	PART III - DIS	•	17,	i (i	,
PAIK. ICU PAPERS REMARKS (Attach additional pages if more added DATE IMPOUNDED (YYYYMMOD) 12. TIN	PART III - DIS	POSITION J. REPORTED A. NAME (LESL	BY First, Miladia lineial)	la, RAA	IK q, DATE /
PATE IMPOUNDED (YYYYMMOO) 12. TIN	PART III - DIS	POSITION J. REPORTED A. NAME (LESL	EY	la, RAA	ik s. date 2. /2/20/07
DATE IMPOUNDED (YVYVIMMOD) 12. TIN TOWED AT	PART III - DIS	POSITION J. REPORTED A. NAME (LESL	EY First, Middle Inniel) 12. MF.R.	la, RAA	L 12/20/07
DATE IMPOUNDED (YYYYMMOD) 12. TIN 2007/12/20 TONED AT STORED AT	PART III - DIS	POSITION 13. REPORTED 4. NAME (Less 14.1 L.K. 6. ORGANIZATI	BY First Middle linites) IE, M. F. R. ON	y 8. RAN	L 12/20/07
DATE IMPOUNDED (YYYYMMOD) 12. TIM TOVED AT STORED AT LOW COLUMN	PART III - DIS	POSITION 13. REPORTED 13. NAME (Less MILK 15. ORGANIZATI MR.S	EY First, Middle Inniel) IE, MF, R.	y 8. RAN	L 12/20/07
DATE IMPOUNDED (YYYYMMOO) TOWED AT STORED AT WITNESSED BY NAME (Last First, Middle Imital) D. RENARKS (Attach additional pages if more added) TOWED AT WITNESSED BY NAME (Last First, Middle Imital) D. RANK	PART III - DIS AE IMPOUNDED 1	POSITION 13. REPORTED 4. NAME (Less 6. ORGANIZATI 7. RELFASED	BY First, Middle linites) IE, M. F. R. ON	SIGNATURE	4 12/20107 4 Mallie
DATE IMPOUNDED (YYYYMMOD) 12. TIN OCT 12 20 TONED AT STORED AT WITNESSED BY WANE (Last, First, Mindels Immier)	PART IN DIS AE IMPOUNDED 1 C. DATE 12-2'C)-07	POSITION 13. REPORTED 6. NAME (LEST 7. RELEASED 1. NAME (LEST	BY First, Middle innies) A P. R. ON First, Middle Insties)	b. RAN	4 12/20107 4 M. DATE
DATE IMPOUNDED (VYYYMMOD) TOWED AT STORED AT WITNESSED BY WAME (Last, First, Models Intell) DEGANIZATION STORED AT WITNESSED BY STORED AT WITNESSED BY DEGANIZATION STORED AT STORED AT WITNESSED BY WAME (Last, First, Models Intell) DEGANIZATION STORED AT STORED AT WITNESSED BY WANTED BY STORED AT STORED AT STORED AT WITNESSED BY WANTED BY STORED AT STORED AT STORED AT STORED AT STORED AT WITNESSED BY WANTED BY STORED AT STORED AT	PART III - DIS ME IMPOUNDED 1 ZCO 1 C. DATE 1 (2-2'C) - O7	POSITION 13. REPORTED 4. NAME (Less 6. ORGANIZATI 7. RELFASED	BY First, Middle innies) A P. R. ON First, Middle Insties)	SIGNATURE	4 12/20107 4 M. DATE
DATE IMPOUNDED (YYYYMMOD) 12. TIN OCT 12 20 TONED AT STORED AT WITNESSED BY WANE (Last, First, Mindels Immier)	PART III - DIS ME IMPOUNDED 1 ZCO 1 C. DATE 1 (2-2'C) - O7	POSITION 13. REPORTED 6. NAME (LEST 7. RELEASED 1. NAME (LEST	BY First, Middle innies) A P. R. ON First, Middle Insties)	b. RAN	4 12/20107 4 M. DATE

EXHIBIT C

WESTERN-TOWING-PCH

₫ 003/007

NOTICE OF PENDING LIEN SALE FOR VEHICLE VALUED \$4000 OR LESS (Civil Code Section 3072)

NOTE TO THE LIENHOLDER.

This notice is to be sent to the registered owner, legal owner, Departmet Motor Vehicles, and any other person interested in this vehicle, 31 to 41 is before the date of sale for not court day notice mailed). Send notice cerd mail, return receipt requested, or U.S.Postal Service Certificate of Mailin

08/29/2008 11:05 FAX

intend to sell this vehicle at a tien Sale foutific sale) because my towing, storage or repair bill has not been paid. You may wish to take

At least 10 days before the sale, a copy of this netice shall be posted in conspicuous place on the premises of the business office of the lienholdend if the sale occurs at a place other than the business office, a notice mus posted at the site of the forthcoming sale. EXCEPTION: Natice to the department must be sent by certified mail, re-receipt requested. at your promise value to five the sale date.
So ofte 2 se and dispute the liet in court. You may stop the sale by completing the Declaration of Opposition below and forward this notice to the DMV in the electrical envelope within 10 days of the date this notice was mailed.
Disregard his notice of you no forger own or want this vehicle so I can proceed with the sale. However, if you are the last owner of record, you may be liable for removal and disposition costs and ben not satisfied by sale of the vehicle.

VEHICLE DEMTRICATION MUMBER (1980)

EIDENSE EUFRATION DATE

STATE RECUSITERED

LESTAN ALMERS

					The Last Market and a series	THE PROPERTY OF	COLUMN METALLIST AS MAL		
VEHICLE	·5121BDP		C.	04	04/26/08	54	YV1.FA8842M2503546	503546	posted at the site of the forthcoming sale.
DESCRIPTION	TOTAL	- TE - CE	300		BODY TYPE	EMERIC NUMBER	EWENE NUMBER (NOTESCHOLES DIET)		REGISTERED DINHER
***************************************	VOLVO	1991	740	SERIES	4D				
	DATE VEHICLE CAME INTO MY PISSESSION	NY POSSESSION	DATEONNER	DATE OWNER UILLED FOR STRVICE SISTORAGE	ESISTOPAGE	DATE WORK OR S	DATE WORK OF SERVICES COMPLETED	NY TOWARD JUB STORAGE WAS	MOOKE ANDREW JACKSON
INFORMATION	12/20/07	20		12/26/07	0.7	01/	01/28/08	X YES NO	OK MEISKS AMILA MAKIE 7107 BROADWAY AVR 277
ABOUT MY	The amount and basis for my lien and	for may lean ang	sulstanding	outstanding parting wolation belies.	n beilis:				LEMON GROVE, CA 91945
	STORME TO ENTE	AT THE RATE OF	III	TOWER	STEVENS	æ	VIOLATIONS CVC 22651.	PRE VIOLATIONS OF 22651.1 DOS? TO COMMOT LEN SALE	
	\$210.00	\$30.00	PGI DAY \$	\$164.00	47-	·cs		\$35.00	LEGAL OFFICE
	This vehicle will be available for inspection at least one hour prior to the sale.	dable for insp.	Xtion at least	one hour priar &	o the sale.				
INFORMATION	DATE NOTICE OF SALE WAS EN	æ	ă	DATE OF SALE		<u> </u>	HOWIN OF SALE	The state of the s	
ABOUT THE	12/26/07	107		01/1	01/28/08		10:00	AM	
SALE	LOCATION OF SALE (STREET ADORESS)	ADCRESSI				pry	SIATE	2P 000E	
	4370 PACIFIC HIGHWAY	FIC HIG	HWAY		SAM DIEGO	LEGO	ð	92110-	
	(hearby certify under	r penetty of p	erjary under merijen or be	the laws of the Ref that there	State of Califor is a ratid defect	nia that the indicates	ornation entered by	f hearty certify under penetry of perjary under the laws of the State of California that the information of the document is sometimed in the case of laws and cornect and I have an eliformation or belief that there is a ratid defease in the claim which nives it or in the lise.	
	24	SIGNATURE	OF LIENHAR DER	SIGNATURE OF LICHAR DER OR AGENT ACTIVE FOR LERWALD	TRUE WARD			**************************************	
	12/26/07	X WE	STERN	X WESTERN TOWING by	ZZZXX				Lien Sale Unit
	UBWRITCH (SUME)				-	TELEPHONE NO.		BLIEAN OF AUTHAIDTUE REPART	P.O. Box 932317
CERTIENTATION	WESTERN TO	TOWING				(619) 29	(619)297-8697		Sacramento, CA 94232-3170
	AJDRESS					att	STATE	E . ZIP CODE	221L0 K0 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1
	4370 PACIE	PACIFIC HIGH	HWAY		SAN	DIEGO	O	CA 92110-	
	MENT ACTING FOR LIBEROLDER (MAKE)	DEN (MANAGE)				TELEPHONE NO.		REGISTRATION SERVICE SAD,	
						_			
	ADOMESS					나미	STATE	E ZPCXOE	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	TO: DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES Phase stop the lien sale of this vehicle beca if pulgatent is given in bacher favor, Imay be	MOTOR VEH of this which isher favor, Iv	CLES because I wi may be fiable I	th to context if	e claim of the Fer	ibolder, Lunders 1 which I may b	tand the Healtolder or a served or notified i	TO-DEPARTMENT BE MOTOR VEHICLES Phases stop he lies sake of this valuebe because livish to context the claim of the Ferbalder, funderstand the Kenholder may file an action in court and if telegment is given in histher favor, they be fable for the court costs. The address at which I may be served or notified in pesson as in each action is:	
DECLARATION	PRINT TRUE FULL INAME							TELEPHONE MINER	
Q.	ATTOR SC			1	,				
0PPOSITION						<u> </u>	SIAIS	2P CLERK	
	MALEN ALLERS II CHETTER FROM ASSOC	III FROM ABOVE					moooneentable to the second	mithrodosisti (Mitimatata)	
must be sent to									
DNV within 10 days of date	I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJORY UNDER THE THE DECLARATION HEREON ARE TRUE AND CORRECT.	IALTY OF PGA Steok are ti	LIURY UNDER RUE AND COS	T THE LAWS O SPECT.	F THE STATE DE	CALIFORNIA	THAT THE INFORM	I CERTIFY UNDER PEMALTY OF PESJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE STATE DF CALIFORNIA THAT THE INFORMATION ENTERED BY ME ON THE DECLARATION HEREON ARE TRUE AND CORRECT.	
notice of sale mailed)	DATE	SIGNOTURE X							
	(TTCBWIDG. Nick liet	aration of the	necition will a	sales right and to	e une frans cinne	emuidad sour	Interior in a second se	11 Series of the Sankalder	
THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	ALECATION: SEURADIO	to serve you i	Aith a court a	Ctian, heishe wi	l be allowed to co	ontáne with the	for sale (Gril Cide	, 1	
SEC ARD IPEY 1 1000	Witht artafferare com	1400							

Page 9 of 50 Case 3:08-cv-01437-WQH-BLM Document 4-5 Filed 09/01/2008 WESTERN-TOWING-PCH 2 004/007 08/28/2008 FAX 6192975805 11:06 DEC 26, 200 MAILED FROM ZIPCODE 9211 PCH 250470 PCH 250476 PCH.250534 PCH 250540 MN 250402 MIM 250402 MM 250403 MM 250403 MM 250485 MG 250434 MG 250514 BR 250483 BR 250506 MG 250525 CV 250392 CV 250392 CV 250437 CV 250437 CV 250436 CV 250486 CV 250484 CV 250484 LM 250384 LM 25038BR 250388 BR 250405 BR 250405 CV 250390 CV 250412 BR 250533 CV 250391 CV 250391 CV 250490 CV 250491 CV 250493 CV 250492 Reference 5 0004213599 0.35 0.35 0.35 35 Fee 02 114 0.41 0.41 0.43 0,43 0.41 0,41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 Postage 0.41 <u>5</u> 0.41 0.4 0.41 0.41 <u>6,4</u> 0.41 0.4 0.41**CATINU** 0.41 0.41 2. 2 4 14. 0.41 7. 0.41 4. 4 (NEGO CA 95 RADOVÁN APOLONIA SONGCUAN, OR RADOVAN JEFFREY SONGCUAN, 10181 MAYOR CIR, SAN DIEGO, CA 9212 KONG TAMES EDWARD ANTHONY, 3130 EMERSON DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92106 MOORE ANDREW JACKSON, OR MEYERS ANITA MARIE, 7107 BROADWAY AVE 277, LEMON GROVE, CA 91945 VALENCIA LUCERO SOSA, 1137 BIRCH ST, CORONA, CA 92879 AVENIDO VISTA MONTANA, APT 6H, SAN CLEMENTE, CA 92672 **YAW** GOMEZ JUAN JOSE, 1626 DEL SUE BLVD ÁPT 101, SÁN ISIDRO, CA STATE FARM MUTUAL AUTO INS, CO, 9675233, 2701 WATERFRONT RD, MARTINEZ, CA 94553 ARMENTA ANTHONY M, OR ARMENTA GENEVIEVE M, 78 GEORGE ST, SAN JOSE, CA 95110 POSTMASTER DER Moma af om TRIMBLE MARTHA, 1268 IMPERIAL BEACH BLVD, APT 51, IMPERIAL BCH, CA 91932 MONTEIANO FERNANDO, 1268 IMPERIAL BCH BLVD 51, IMPERIAL BCH, CA CAMACHO MARICELA G, 1065 12TH ST APT B, IMPERIAL BCH, CA 91932 HERRERA LUISI, HERRERA GUADALUPE E, 109 ALVARADO ST, CHULA VISTA, CA 91910 LA AUTO SALVAGE, 7245 LAUREL CANYON BLVD, N HOLLYWOOD, CA 91605 GONZALEZ MARTHA, 4224 CAMINO DE LA PLZ, APT 26G, SAN YSIDRO, CA 92173 AVENDANO RAUL B, 482 W SAN YSIDRO BLVD, STE 1351, SAN YSIDRO, CA 92173
RAMIREZ RAFAEL E, 1250 BARRETT LAKE APT D4, DULZURA, CA 91917
VAZQUEZ MARIA MAGDALENA, 3030 SHELBY DR, NATIONAL CITY, CA 91950 92108 MALONE PATRICK PAUL, 1835 GATEWAY DR, SAN DIEGO, CA 92105 SAMBRANO KARINA, 3832 PEACH BLOSSOM ST, NATIONAL CITY, CA 91950 MOTORES LA SIERRA, AVE 16 SEPT APT 903 LA SIERRA, TIJUANA MEXICO PROAMERALA, DA BY 2010, DEPRES HACKETT STEPHEN BRENT, 7968D MISSION CENTER CT, SAN DIEGO, CA MIKHAIL ANNE ANGHAM, 303 S MOLLISON AVE #4, EL CAION, CA 92020 SANCHEZ LUIS RAFAEL, 212 W ELM ST. COMPTON, CA 90200
ESPINOZA JUAN JR, 851 REGULO PL. 1135, CHULA VISTA, CA
CURET ADRIAN CHRISTOPHER, USS NIMITZ CVN 68, FPO AP, CA 96620 SMITH MATTHEW ALEN, 43906 CARENTAN DR, TEMECULA, CA 92592 COLIFER BDGART, 545 17TH ST, SAN DIEGO, CA, 92101 FAN CHITN MIN 872 NEW DEPOT ST #202, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 REITHMAYR PETER, 1055 SECOND AVE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101 RACINELLI ELISSA, 4230 SANTA CRUZ AVE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92107 LAU WAI WA, 113 W SAXON AVE, SAN GABRIEL, CA, 91776 LICKERT LLOYD LEWIS, 3034 MCGRAW ST, SAN DIEGO, CA 92117 OHNSON WESLEY R, 2140 BONTA ST, LEMON GROVE, CA 91545 THORTON TERESA R, 1020 MALENE LN, EL CAJON, CA 92021 AMORES TONY A, 1517 ALBATA CT, SAN DIEGO, CA 92154 SHAMILOV LEV, PO BOX 122805, SAN DIEGO, CA 92112 CAMPBELL BRIAN M JOSEPH 4370 PACIFIC HIGHWAY SAN DIEGO, CA 92110-Name, Address, City, State, Zip

Certificate of Mailing Ps Form 3877, Firm Mailing

WESTERN TOWING SAN DIEGO,

IAME AND OF SENDER ADDRESS

08-0155 08-0156

08-0152 08-0153 08-0154 08-0155

08-0157

Article

08-0158 08-0159

08-0157

()

08-0160

08-0162

08-0161

15 16 08-0170 08-0170

08-0173

08-0172 08-0172 08-0174 08-0175

08-0175 08-0175 08-0178

08.017608-0177 08-0178

08-0179 08-0179

08-0165 08-0168

68-0163 08-0164 08-0166

<u>\$08488</u>



EXHIBIT D

08/29/2008 11:07 FAX 6192975805

WESTERN-TOWING-PCH

2008/007

State of California - Business Transportation, and Housing Agency

ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, Governor

- DEFARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES

Lien Sale Section P. O. Box 932317 Sacramento, CA 94232-3170 (916) 657-7617

JANUARY 15, 2008



WESTERN TOWING 4370 PACIFIC HIGHWAY SAN DIEGO CA 92110

Vehicle information

License #: 5121BDP

Make:

VOLVO

VIN #: YV1FA8842M2503546

Year Model: 1991

Engine

Lienholder WESTERN TOWING

Your request for a lien sale has been **denied** because we have received a Declaration of Opposition from:

ANDREW JACKSON MOORE 7107 BROADWAY AVE 277

LEMON GROVE

CA 91945

A lien sale cannot be conducted unless:

1. You file a court action within 30 days from the date of this notice and receive a court judgment in your favor.

ÖR

2. The declarant signs the Release of Interest below, as required by Civil Code Section 3072.

OR

3. You could not serve the declarant pursuant to Civil Code 3072(e). If that was the case, submit the following documents to the above address:

An application for Authorization to Continue Lien Sale After Unsuccessful Service (Reg 659).

AND

Either the unopened certified letter or court documents proving unsuccessful personal service.

		ASE OF I			LGW U-
I understand I have the the lien and that by sight claim of the lienhouse.	ne legal right to a hea igning this Release o older.	aring In c f Interest	ourt prior to any sale I am giving up my rig	of the vehi th to appea	cle to satisfy ar to contest
I hereby give up all le permission to the lien	gal and equitable int holder to sell it.	terest I m	ay have in the vehicle	and hereb	y give my
Date			Signature	······································	
	Print Your Name			Pho	ne #
			()	
	Address		City	State	Zip
License #: 5121BDP	Make: VOLVO	VIN #:	YV1FA8842M2503546		

EXHIBIT E

SC-100

Plaintiff's Claim and ORDER to Go to Small Claims Court

Notice to the person being sued:

- You are the Defendant if your name is listed in 2 on page 2 of this form. The person suing you is the Plaintiff, listed in 1 on page 2.
- You and the Plaintiff must go to court on the trial date listed below. If you do not go to court, you may lose the case.
- · If you lose, the court can order that your wages, money, or property be taken to pay this claim.
- Bring witnesses, receipts, and any evidence you need to prove your case.
- Read this form and all pages attached to understand the claim against you and to protect your rights.

Aviso al Demandando:

- Usted es el Demandado si su nombre figura en ② de la página 2 de este formulario. La persona que lo demanda es el Demandante, la que figura en (1) de la página 2.
- Usted y el Demandante tienen que presentarse en la corte en la fecha del juicio indicada a continuación. Si no se presenta, puede perder el caso.
- Si pierde el caso la corte podría ordenar que le quiten de su sueldo, dinero u otros bienes para pagar este reclamo.
- Lleve testigos, recibos y cualquier otra prueba que necesite para probar su caso.

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego 8950 Clairemont Mesa Blvd San Diego, CA 92123

Clerk fills in case number and case name.

Case Number:

37-2008-00000586-SC-SC-CTL

Case Name:

Western Towing vs. Moore

• Lea este formulario y todos las páginas adjuntas para entender la demanda en su contra y para proteger sus derechos.

Order to Go to Court

The people in (1) and (2) must go to court on: (Clerk fills out section below.)

7	Trial		Date	Time	Department	Name and address of court if different from above
	Date	1.	03/18/2008	01:15:00 PM	KM-2	
		2.				
		3.				Ph. II
D	ate:	02/0	04/2008		Clerk by,	Leiberguth , Deputy

Instructions for the person suing:

- You are the Plaintiff. The person you are suing is the Defendant.
- · Before you fill out this form, read Form SC-150, Information for the Plaintiff (Small Claims), to know your rights. Get SC-150 at any courthouse or county law library, or go to: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms
- Fill out pages 2 and 3 of this form. Then make copies of all pages of this form. (Make 1 copy for each party named in this case and an extra copy for yourself.) Take or mail the original and these copies to the court clerk's office and pay the filing fee. The clerk will write the date of your trial in the box above.
- You must have someone at least 18—not you or anyone else listed in this case—give each Defendant a court-stamped copy of all 5 pages of this form and any pages this form tells you to attach. There are special rules for "serving", or delivering, this form to public entities, associations, and some businesses. See Forms SC-104, SC-104B, and SC-104C.
- Go to court on your trial date listed above. Bring witnesses, receipts, and any evidence you need to prove your case.

SC-100, Page 1 of 5 \rightarrow

laintiff (list names): Western Towing		37-200	mber: 8-00000586-Si	C-SC-CTL
The Plaintiff (the person, business, or public entity	that is suing) is:		
Name: Western Towing			_{e:} 619-297	-8697
Street address: 4370 Pacific Highway, San Diego, CA 92110				
Siree	City		State	Zip
Mailing address (if different): Street	City		State	Zip
If more than one Plaintiff, list next Plaintiff here:		D		
Name:		Phon	9:	
Street address:	City	-	State	Zip
Mailing address (if different):	City		State	Zip
Street Check here If more than 2 Plaintiffs and attach Form SC	-100A.			·
Check here if either Plaintiff listed above is doing busine		tous name	e. If so, atta	ch Form SC-103
The Defendant (the person, business, or public en	itity being su	ıed) is:		
Name: Andrew Jackson Moore			e:	
Street address: 7107 Broadway Avenue # 277, Lemon Grove,	CA 91945			
Street	City		State	Zip
Mailing address (if different): Street	Cíty		State	Zip
If more than one Defendant, list next Defendant he	ere:			
Name:		Phor	ne:	
Street address:				
Street	City		State	Zip
Mailing address (if different):	City		State	Zip
☐ Check here If more than 2 Defendants and attach	Form SC-100	A		
Check here If any Defendant is on active military of	duty, write his	or her na	ame here: ₋	
The Plaintiff claims the Defendant owes \$ <u>2,499.00</u>		(Explain	below):	
a. Why does the Defendant owe the Plaintiff money?				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0 (0-4-) 12/20/2007				
b. When did this happen? (Date): 12/20/2007	d:	-	Through:	
If no specific date, give the time period: Date started	u	ourt cost	e or face fo	r senice l
c. How did you calculate the money owed to you? (Do	not include c	oun cost	3 UI 1663 IU	i Service.)
The state of the s				
Towing storage and lien fees			d	#00 400 #= 0#
Check here if you need more space. Attach one sheet	of paper or Foi	m MC-03	and write	SU-TUU, Item 3° at
the top. Plaintiff's Claim a	and ORDER			SC-100, Page 2 of

Plaintiff's Claim and ORDER to Go to Small Claims Court (Small Claims)

Rev. January 1, 2008

Distiff /list names): Western Towing	Case Number: 37-2008-00000586-SC-SC-CTL
		r by phone) to pay you before you sue.
	e this? 🗵 Yes 🔲 No	
Why are you	filing your claim at this courthouse?	
This courtho	use covers the area (check the one that	applies):
(2) Wh	ere the Plaintiff's property was damaged. here the Plaintiff was injured.	4) Where a contract (written or spoken) was made, signed, performed, or broken by the Defendant or where the Defendant lived or did business when the Defendant made the contract.
is abou § 395()	Where the buyer or lessee signed the contract, lives now, or lived when the contract was made, if this clair is about an offer or contract for personal, family, or household goods, services, or loans. (Code Civ. Proc., § 395(b).)	
a retail	Where the buyer signed the contract, lives now, or lived when the contract was made, if this claim is about a retail installment contract (like a credit card). (Civil Code, § 1812.10.)	
d. Where	Where the buyer signed the contract, lives now, or lived when the contract was made, or where the vehicle is permanently garaged, if this claim is about a vehicle finance sale. (Civil Code, § 2984.4.)	
posses	(specify):	
Are you suing If yes, you mus If the public ent	ou have had arbitration, fill out Form SC-10 g a public entity? Yes X No t file a written claim with the entity first. ity denies your claim or does not answer with d more than 12 other small claims with	A claim was filed on (date):in the time allowed by law, you can file this form.
	No If yes, the filing fee for this case will be	
		ourt, I have no right to appeal this claim.
California durin	g this calendar year.	two small claims cases for more than \$2,500 in
I declare, under this form is true	r penalty of perjury under California State Lave and correct.	v, that the information above and on any attachments to
Date: 02/04/2008	Western Towing Plaintiff types or prints name here	Plaintiff signs here
Date:	Second Plainliff types or prints name here	Second Plainliff signs here
	Requests for Accommodations	
A	Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted	d, real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter s before the trial. Contact the clerk's office for Form roons With Disabilities and Order. (Civil Code, § 54.8)

SC-100

Information for the Defendant (the person being sued)

"Small claims court" is a special court where claims for \$5,000 or less are decided. A "natural person" (not a business or public entity) may claim up to \$7,500. The process is quick and cheap. The rules are simple and informal.

You are the Defendant -- the person being sued. The person who is suing you is the Plaintiff

Do I need a lawyer?

You may talk to a lawyer before or after the case. But you may not have a lawyer represent you in court (unless this is an appeal from a small claims case).

How do I get ready for court?

You don't have to file any papers before your trial, unless you think this is the wrong court for your case. But bring to your trial any witnesses, receipts, and any evidence that supports your case. And read "Get Ready for Court" at: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/smallclaims/getready.htm

What if I need an accommodation?

If you have a disability or are hearing impaired, fill out Form MC-410, Request for Accommodations. Give the form to your court clerk or the ADA/Access Coordinator.

What if I don't speak English well?

Bring an adult who is not a witness to interpret for you, or ask the court clerk for an interpreter at least five days before your court date. A court-provided interpreter may not be available or there may be a fee for using a court interpreter unless you qualify for a fee waiver. You may ask the court for a list of interpreters and also the Application for Waiver of Court Fees and Costs (form FW-001).

Where can I get the court forms I need?

Go to any courthouse or your county law library, or print forms at: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms

What happens at the trial?

The judge will listen to both sides. The judge may make a decision at your trial or mail the decision to you later.

What if I lose the case?

If you lose, you can appeal. You'll have to pay a fee. (Plaintiffs cannot appeal their own claims.)

- If you were at the trial, file Form SC-140, Notice of Appeal. You must file within 30 days after the judge's decision.
- If you were not at the trial, fill out and file Form SC-135, Notice of Motion to Vacate Judgment and Declaration, to ask the judge to cancel the judgment (decision). If the judge does not give you a new trial, you have 10 days to appeal the decision. File Form SC-140.

For more information on appeals, see: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/smallclaims/appeal.htm

Do I have options?

Yes. If you are being sued, you can:

- Settle your case before the trial. If you and the Plaintiff agree on how to settle the case, both of you must notify the court. Ask the Small Claims Advisor for help.
- Prove this is the wrong court. Send a letter to the court before your trial, explaining why you think this is the wrong court. Ask the court to dismiss the claim. You must serve (give) a copy of your letter (by mail or in person) to all parties. (Your letter to the court must say you have done this.)
- Go to the trial and try to win your case. Bring witnesses, receipts, and any evidence you need to prove your case. To make sure the witnesses go to the trial, fill out Form SC-107 and the clerk will subpoena (order) them to go.
- Sue the person who is suing you. File Form SC-120, Defendant's Claim. There are strict filing deadlines you must follow.
- Agree with the Plaintiff's claim and pay the money. Or, if you can't pay the money now, go to your trial and say you want to make payments.
- Let the case "default." If you don't settle and do not go to the trial (default), the judge may give the Plaintiff what he or she is asking for plus court costs. If this happens, the Plaintiff can legally take your money, wages, and property to pay the judgment.

What if I need more time?

You can change the trial date if:

- You cannot go to court on the scheduled date (you will have to pay a fee to postpone the trial), or
- You did not get served (receive this order to go to court) at least 15 days before the trial (or 20 days if you live outside the county), or
- You need more time to get an interpreter. One postponement is allowed, and you will not have to pay a fee to delay the trial.

Ask the Small Claims Clerk about the rules and fees for postponing a trial. Or fill out Form SC-110 (or write a letter) and mail it to the court and to all other people listed on your court papers before the deadline. Enclose a check for your court fees, unless a fee waiver was granted.



Need help?

Your county's Small Claims Advisor can help for free.

Hotline: (619) 236-2471
East Division: 250 East Main Street, El Cajon, CA 92020
Central Division: 8950 Clairemont Mesa Blvd, San Diego, CA 92123
North Division: 325 S. Melrose Drive, Vista, CA 92081
South Division: 500 3rd Ave, Chula Vista, CA 91910

Or go to "County-Specific Court Information" at: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/smallclaims

SC-100, Page 4 of 5



SC-100

Información para el demandado (la persona demandada)

La "Corte de reclamos menores" es una corte especial donde se deciden casos por \$5,000 ó menos. Una "persona natural" (que no sea un negocio ni una entidad pública) puede reclamar hasta \$7,500. El proceso es rápido y barato. Las reglas son sencillas e informales.

Usted es el Demandado — la persona que se está demandando. La persona que lo está demandando es el Demandante.

¿Necesito un abogado?

Puede hablar con un abogado antes o después del caso. Pero *no puede* tener a un abogado que lo represente ante la corte (a menos que se trate de una apelación de un caso de reclamos menores).

¿Cómo me preparo para ir a la corte?

No tiene que presentar ningunos papeles antes del juicio, a menos que piense que ésta es la corte equivocada para su caso. Pero lleve al juicio cualquier testigos, recibos, y cualquier pruebas que apoyan su caso. Y Lea "Preparese para la corte" en:

www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/reclamosmenores/ prepararse.htm

¿Qué hago si necesito una adaptación?

Si tiene una discapacidad o tiene impedimentos de audición, llene el formulario MC-410, Request for Accomodations. Entregue el formulario al secretario de la corte o al Coordinador de Acceso/ADA de su corte.

¿Qué pasa si no hablo bien inglés?

Traiga a un adulto que no sea testigo para que le sirva de intérprete. O pida al secretario de la corte que le asigne uno. Si quiere que la corte le asigne un intérprete, lo tiene que pedir como minimo menos cinco dias antes de la fecha en que tenga que ir a la corte. Es posible que no haya disponible un intérprete proporcionado por la corte o que tenga que pagar una cuota por emplear un intérprete de la corte, a menos que tenga una exención de cuotas. Puede pedir a la corte una lista de intérpretes y la Solocitude de exención de cuotas y costos de la corte (formulario FW-001).

¿Dónde puedo obtener los formularios de la corte que necesito?

Vaya a cualquier edificio de la corte, la biblioteca legal de su condado o imprima los formularios en: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/forms

¿Qué pasa en el juicio?

El juez escuchará a ambas partes. El juez puede tomar su decisión durante la audiencia o enviársela por correo después.

¿Qué pasa si pierdo el caso?

Si pierde, puede apelar. Tendrá que pagar una cuota. (El Demandante no puede apelar su propio reclamo.)

- Si estuvo presente en el juicio, llene el formulario SC-140, Aviso de apelación. Tiene que presentarlo dentro de 30 días depués de la decisión del juez.
- Si no estuvo en el juicio, llene y presente el formulario SC-135, Aviso de petición para anular el fallo y Declaración para pedirle al juez que anule el fallo (decisión). Si la corte no le otorga un nuevo juicio, tiene 10 días para apelar la decisión. Presente el formulario SC-140

Para obtener más información sobre las apelaciones, vea: www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/reclamosmenores/ apelar.htm

¿Tengo otras opciones?

Sí. Si lo están demandando, puede:

- Resolver su caso antes del juicio. Si usted y el Demandante se ponen de acuerdo en resolver el caso, ambos tienen que notificar a la corte. Pídale al Asesor de Reclamos Menores que lo ayude.
- Probar que es la corte equivocada. Envíe una carta a la corte antes del juicio explicando por qué cree que es la corte equivocada. Pídale a la corte que despida el reclamo. Tiene que entregar (dar) una copia de su carta (por correo o en persona) a todas las partes. (Su carta a la corte tiene que decir que hizo la entrega.)
- Ir al juicio y tratar de ganar el caso. Lleve testigos, recibos y cualquier prueba que necesite para probar su caso. Para asegurarse que los testigos vayan al juicio, llene el formulario SC-107 y el secretario emitirá una orden de comparecencia ordenándoles que se presenten.
- Demandar a la persona que lo demandó. Presente el formulario SC-120, Reclamo del demandado. Hay fechas límite estrictas que debe seguir.
- Aceptar el reclamo del Demandante y pagar el dinero. O, si no puede pagar en ese momento, vaya al juicio y diga que quiere hacer los pagos.
- No ir al juicio y aceptar el fallo por falta de comparecencia. Si no llega a un acuerdo con el Demandante y no va al juicio (fallo por falta de comparecencia), el juez le puede otorgar al Demandante lo que está reclamando más los costos de la corte. En ese caso, el Demandante legalmente puede tomar su dinero, su sueldo o sus bienes para cobrar el fallo.

¿Qué hago si necesito más tiempo?

Puede cambiar la fecha del juicio si:

- No puede ir a la corte en la fecha programada (Tendrá que pagar una cuota para aplazar el juicio), o
- No le entregaron los documentos legalmente (no recibió la orden para ir a la corte) por lo menos 15 días antes del juicio (ó 20 días si vive fuera del condado), o
- Necesita más tiempo para conseguir intérprete. (Se permite un solo aplazamiento sin tener que pagar cuota para aplazar el juício).

Preguntele al secretario de reclamos menores sobre las reglas y las cuotas para aplazar un juicio. O llene el formulario SC-110 (o escriba una carta) y envielo antes del plazo a la corte y a todas las otras personas que figuran en sus papeles de la corte. Adjunte un cheque para pagar los costos de la corte, a menos que le hayan dado una exención.



¿Necesita ayuda? El Asesor de Reclamos Menores de su condado le puede ayudar sin cargo.

Hotline: (619) 236-2471
East Division: 250 East Main Street, El Cajon, CA 92020
Central Division: 8950 Clairemont Mesa Blvd, San Diego, CA 92123
North Division: 325 S. Metrose Drive, Vista, CA 92081
South Division: 500 3rd Ave, Chula Vista, CA 91910

O vea "Información por condado" en:

www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/reclamosmenores

Rev. January 1, 2008

y ORDEN SC-100, Page 5 of 5



EXHIBIT F

08/28/2008 14:43 FAX 6192975805

WESTERN-TOWING-PCH

⊘006/009

4.25.08 0745

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO TO KEARNY MESA BRANCH, 8950 CLAIREMONT MESA BLVD., SAN DIEGO, CA 97	2123-1187. (868) 694-2066	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92081-6635 (760), 728-9595	1711 1: 30
☐ EAST COUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL CAJON, CA 92020-3941, (619) 44	11-4100	et 8 27
☐ RAMONA BRANCH, 1428 MONTECITO RD., RAMONA, CA 92065-6200, (760) 73	8-2435	Chi T Limin
SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91910-5648, (619	9) 891-4439	in the second se
PLAINTIFF(S)		W. Carlotte Committee Comm
WESTERN TOWING		SPA CAN # 08-0177
DEFENDANT(S)		CURRENT TRIAL DATE AND TIME:
ANDREW JACKSON A	SOORE	3/18/2008
REQUEST FOR RESET OR CONTINUANO	¢E	CASE NUMBER
OF SMALL CLAIMS TRIAL		37-2008-00000586-SC+SGG
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		2 17-2007-0000386-SC-1C CT
IMPORTAN	T NOTICES	7 1/- 9 00% - 00000380 -30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-30-
RESET - The plaintiff requests a RESET of the trial date when		ot been served with the claim. No fee is
required. The first request for reset must be filed with the		
automatically granted in the clerk's office. Any subsequent r	eset requests must l	be made on the new trial date before the
bench officer.	******************	The state of the s
CONTINUANCE - A CONTINUANCE is requested by either the	ne plaintin <u>or</u> the dete	endant after service has been effected on
the defendant and proof of service has been filed with the cou	rt. A written request	for the continuance must be filed with the
court at least ten calendar days before the trial date and mu		
request was mailed to the opposing party. The court will notify	the opposing party of	of the new trial date by mail. Any requests
for continuance received less than ten calendar days before	the hearing will be at	tached to the case file for consideration on
the trial date.		
INSTRUCTIONS: Complete Sections I, II, and III below ar	d alve this form to	the clerk
		IDANT'S NAME AND ADDRESS
WESTERN TOWNE	ANDE	EN TACKSON MOORE
112 DO X/2016 1111/19	V 1 / 1/2	12 2 0 4 0 1 10 1
4570 MACHOLO FINE	7 190 7	15 FEAR WALL AUG 2177
JAN MRGO CA 92110	LENION	1 (0 KOVK CA 91995)
Additional Plaintiffs listed on the reverse side of form.	☐ Additional Defenda	ants listed on the reverse side of form.
Section III: (Check one)		
REQUEST FOR RESET: I am the plaintiff in the above acti	on and the defenden	t/c) has not been enried. Diseas repot my
court date. I understand that it is my responsibility to serve	on and the deletican the defendant/e) in	the manner provided under CCD section
116,340.	the delendant(a) in	me manner provided under CCP section
	<i>!</i>	
▼ REQUEST FOR CONTINUANCE. I am the Delaintiff ✓	befendant in the abou	/e action and request a continuance of my
small claims trial. A copy of this request was mailed	rsonally delivered	to each of the other parties in this case on
(date): at the address listed above as required by	y CCP§116.570(a)(3). I understand that the \$10,00 fee is due
now.		
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State	of California that the	foregoing is true and correct.
(0/9 1750-1490	Kn to	The state of the s
Telephone Number	<u> </u>	(Signature)
	W TRIAL DATE	- Olgitalus
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
The above-named small claims case to which you are a party		
Trial Date: Opil 55,08 at 7	45" AM	APM in Department Juro (J)
· ·		
The place of trial will be at the court address indicated above		/
CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF SE	RVICE BY MAIL (C	CP 1013a(4))
I certify that I am not a party to the aboye-entitled cause and the	at I denocited a con-	of the foregoing in the Linked States well
with postage prepaid at Californ	ia: in a castad ancat	one addressed to the parties of the file.
on EED 9 A 2000 /	na, ni a sedicu erivek	ope addressed to the parties shown above
on <u>FEB 2.9-2008</u> .		
	CLERK OF	THE SUPERIOR COURT
by _	- Sept	Jacio P.E. PORTACIO Deputy
,		

06/25/2008 10:12 FAX 6192975805

WESTERN-TOWING-PCH

SC-130 SUPERTOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Diego 8950 Člairėmont Mesa Blvd 8950 Člairemont Mesa Blvd San Diego, CA 92123 NOTICE TO ALL PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANTS: Your small claims case has been decided. If you lost the case, and the court ordered you to pay money, your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. Read the back of this sheet for important information about your rights: Color of the Name PLAINTIEF/DEMANDANTE (Name, street eddress, and telephone number of each (Name, street address, and islephone number of outh Sunbelt Towing, Inc. 4370 Pacific Highway dba Western Towing, San Diego, CA 92110 Commercial Telephone No: (619) 297-8697 House See attached sheet for additional plantiffs and defendants: NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JODGMENT Judgment was entered as checked below on (dete): 04/25/2008 X Defendant (name, if more than one): Andrew Jackson Moory shall pay plaintiff (name, if more than one): Sunboit Towing, Inc. DBA Western Towing costs on plaip/if's claim. principal and: \$80.00 2.. Óefendant does not owe plaintiff any money on plaintiff's dalm. 3. Plaintiff (name, if more than one) : shall pay defendant (name, if more than one): principal and : costs on defendant's claim. Plaintiff does not owe defendant any money on defendant's claim. Possession of the following property is awarded to plaintiff (describe property): Payments are to be made at the rate of: \$: per (specify period) : , beginning on (date) : and on the (specify day): day of each month thereafter until paid in full. If any payment is missed , the entire balance may become due immediately 7. Dismissed in court with prejudice without prejudice. - 8 Altorney-Cilent Fee Dispute (Attachment to Notice of Entry of Judgment) (form SC-132) is attached. Other (specify): 9. This judgment results from a motor vehicle accident on a California highway and was caused by the judgment debtor's operation of a 1Ò. motor vehicle. If the judgment is not paid, the judgment creditor may apply to have the judgment debtor's driver's license suspended. 11. Enforcement of the judgment is automatically postponed for 30 days or, if an appeal is filed, until the appeal is decided. 12. This notice was personally delivered to (insert name and date): 13. CLERK'S CERTIFICATE OF MAILING - I certify that I am not a party to this action. This Notice of Entry of Judgment was malled first class. postage prepaid, in a sealed envelope to the parties at the addresses shown above. The mailing and this certification occurred at the place and on the date shown below. Place of mailing: San Diego, California Date of malling: 05/12/2008 Deputy −The county provides Small Claims Advisor services free of charge, Read the information sheet on the reverse,–

Form Adopted for Mandetory Use Judicial Council of California SC-130 [Rev. January 1, 2007]

NOTICE OF ENTRY OF JUDGMENT (Small Claims)

Cal. Rules of Court, rule 982,7; Code of Civil Procedure, § 116.010 08/28/2008 14:42 FAX 6192975805

WESTERN-TOWING-PCH

2004/009

SLABETT VS MOORE

८-८-०३

	······································
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	
STREET ADDRESS: 220 West Broadway	
MAILING ADDRÉSS: 220 Weet Broadway	
CITY AND ZIP CODE. San Diego, CA 93101	
Branch Name: Central	
TELEPHONE NUMBER. (619) 450-5039	
PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S): Sunbell Towing, Inc.	
DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): Andrew Jackson Moore	
WESTERN TOWING VS. MOORE	
NOTICE OF DEADING	CASE NUMBER:
NOTICE OF HEARING	37-2008-00000586-SC-SC-CTL

Notice is given that the above-entitled case has been set for the reason listed below and at the location shown above. All inquiries regarding this notice should be referred to the court listed above.

TYPE OF HEARING
Trial De Novo

DATE 08/08/2008 TIME 08:30 am <u>DEPT</u> C-39 <u>JUDGE</u>

William H. Kronberger

Clerk of the Superior Court

DATA SHEET FOR SMALL CLAIMS APPEALS

MIG 08 2008

EACH PARTY MUST PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION PLEASE WRITE LEGIBLY

CALENDAR NO. SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. 57-200-0000 596-
TIME ESTIMATE FOR HEARING: 30 MINUTES
NAME OF PARTY (INDIVIDUAL OR BUSINESS): ANDREW JACKSON MOORE
ADDRESS IP.O. BOX: 7107 BROAD WAY-AVE 2177
CITY/STATE ZIP CODE: LEMON GROVE CALIK 91945
PHONE NUMBER: (619) 750 -1490
NAME OF ATTORNEY (ATTACH BUSINESS CARD):
FULL NAME OF ALL WITNESSES:
LIST AND DISCRIBE ALL EXHIBITS
(Example: Ex.1 - lease dated 4/12/05, Ex.2 - Photo of damaged wall)
(b) 37-2008-00000586-SC-SC-CTC
10 FEVERAC (DISTRICT COURT CASE
08 CV 1931 N(VH (SCN).
(3) " NOTICE OF VISITATION"
(3) OMPTHE FIGHE OF SMITC
A A Maria
1 4CC 1-207.7

%AJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

Ħ

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

					<u> </u>	234 425			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	CLINBELT STO	NING. INC	, D	EFENDANTS	~~	- nu L.	10.		
	SUNBELT TO	STERW TON	n(1440	HNE	HAMA!	。万财战	ison	Mo	ORE
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	SAN DIEG		ounty of Residence	\$4 13 \$2 60 NO. 255 NO.	TO SECURE A SECURE AND AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	CAN	D14	00
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	ASES)			•	LAINTIFF CASES	•		
					ND CONDEMA INVOLVED	ATION CASES, US	THE LOCAT	TON OF TH	e RIM
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	, Address, and Telephone Numb			ttorneys (If Known)				rue e	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
4370	PACIFIC	HUOHWA		2100	2 BF	OADULI	AV AL	1/C/	トワリ
SAN	DIEGO CA	7 92110		(1	140 A	OADNI GROU	IE CU	<u> 397</u>	945
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)		ZENSHIP OF	PRINCIP <i>A</i>	L PARTIES	(Płace en "X" in		
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question		(For	Diversity Cases Only)	PTF DEF)	and One Box	for Defenda	int) DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of	This State	D	Incorporated or Pri of Business In Thir		C3 4	O 4
1 2 U.S. Government	O 4 Diversity		Citizen of	Another State (3 2 0 2	Incorporated and P		O 5	O 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)				of Business In A	Another State		
					J 3 Ø 3	Foreign Nation		C7 6	9 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box O	niv)	roseiga	Country				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CONTRACT	er en graffen som sterne det som 70		FORF	HTURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	отне	RSTATUT	ES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR				al 28 USC 158		Reapportion	ment
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice		ner Food & Drug	CJ 423 With 28 U	drawel SC 157	 410 Antitr 430 Banks 	ust and Bankin	_D
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Injury -	of	Property 21 USC 881			☐ 450 Comn	rerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment		Product Liability				OF A SI (A IN 15 MARK	 460 Depor 470 Racket 		
& Enforcement of Judgment [] 151 Medicare Act	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product	☐ 640 R.F		3 820 Copy 3 830 Pater		•	eer mmeen of Organizat	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability	□ 660 Oc		☐ 840 Trade		(J 480 Consu		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPER		fety/Health			1 490 Cable		
(Excl. Veterans)	345 Marine Product	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 690 Otl	LABOR	SS (SSS) / A A A A	(s) (o(1) (1 p (4) (8) (8) (8)	810 Select	ive Service ties/Commo	dition/
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	710 Fai	r Labor Standards	O 861 HIA		Excha		CHUCS/
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Damage	Act		☐ 862 Black		@ 875 Custon		ge
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	Cl 385 Property Damage		or/Mgmt. Relations		C/DIWW (405(g))		C 3410	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability		oor/Mgmt.Reporting Disclosure Act	17 864 SSID 17 865 RSI (S 890 Other S 891 Agricu		ctions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		iway Labor Act		L TAX SUITS		mic Stabiliz	ation Act
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacate		er Labor Litigation		s (U.S. Plaintiff		onmental Ma	atters
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence		pl. Ret. inc.	4	efendant)		y Allocation	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:	Sec	urity Act	Ø 871 IRS-	-Third Party SC 7609	O 895 Freedo	m of inform	nation
245 Tort Product Liability	1 444 Welfare	350 General 3535 Death Penalty	Z S S S IM	MIGRATION	1 200	. 1007	O 900Appeal	of Fee Dete	rmination
7 290 All Other Real Property	1 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth	er 3 462 Nat	uralization Applicatio	n		Under	Equal Acces	
	Employment	550 Civil Rights	1	ceas Corpus -	ĺ		to Just		r
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	555 Prison Condition		n Detainee er Immigration	į		950 Consti	tutioninity o statutes	T
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights		Acti	-			1		
Ol Original / 25 Re	ate Court	Appellate Court	4 Reinstate Reopence	anoth (spec		☐ 6 Multidistri Litigation	ict 🗇 7	Appeal to Judge fron Magistrate Judgment	n
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you ar	e filing (Do n			nless diversity):			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Brief description of ca	nusc:	796-4		ر نمهرک				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DEMA	.ND \$		HECK YES only i	if demanded in	complain	t:
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S)							-	
JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER									
DATE DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RI	ECORD					

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	<u></u>								
RECEIPT#A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	Marketta est da la comita de la c	MAG, JUD	GE		

Aden Jagger mode 8-6-2003

Andrew Moore 7107 Broadway Ave Lemon Grove Ca 91945 FILED

2008 AUG -7 PM 4:19

CLERK US DISTRIBLE COUNT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Y.....DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRIC COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SUNBECT TOWNS, INC.

OB CV 1437 WQH BLM

OBA VVESTERN TOWNS

Notice of Removal State Court Civil Proceeding (Case No.) 28 U.S.C. 1441-(46)

Plaintiffs

All Parties have been currently Served

V

Andrew Moore

Defendants/ Respondents

)

NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES, PLEASE TAKE NOTICE

States District Court, Southern District of California, to this Court. All parties have been served pursuant to TITLE 28—JUDICIARY AND JUDICIAL PROCEDURE PART IV—JURISDICTION AND VENUE CHAPTER 89—DISTRICT COURTS; REMOVAL OF CASE FROM STATE COURT. Sec. 1441-(6) procedure for removal. (a) A defendant or defendants desiring to remove any civil action from a State court shall file in the District

Court of the United States for the state Division within which such action is pending a notice of removal signed pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and containing a short and plain statement of the grounds for removal, together with a copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon such defendant or defendants in such action. Notice is hereby given that defendants Andrew Moore inclusive hereby remove this action from the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego to the United States District Court, Southern District of California. Due to the Original Jurisdiction Granted to Article III District Court of the United States, and the 7th Amendment mention any controversy over The grounds for removal are as follows:

a) Andrew Moore inclusive \$20.00 Shall be Granted under the rules of the Common Law vs the Plaintiff Claim of Relief under special Maritime Extra-territory Jurisdictional Proceeding or some contract of Affreightment under the gold Fringe Flag, for this reason the respondents in the above-entitled civil action Removal filed in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Central Division Case No.

In addition, the amount in controversy as to the claims of both parties exceeds

\$ 20.00 exclusive of interest and costs, in that defendant claims actual damages in excess of \$ 20.00 (Twenty Dollar) treble damages and punitive damages with respect to each of three causes of action. Moreover, state National and Does 1 to 6 inclusive are informed and believe base thereupon allege that the cost to Andrew Moore of the equitable and injunctive relief requested by plaintiff exceeds the sum of \$20,00 exclusive of interest and costs.

Dated: Aug. 6, 2008

Henceforth Submitted

Andrew Moore

" All Right Reserved"

4cc 1-207.7

08/26/2008 08:25 FAX 6192975805

WESTERN-TOWING-PCH

2001/001

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO STREET ADDRESS: 220 West Broadway MAILING ADDRESS: 220 West Broadway CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101 BRANCH NAME: TELEPHONE NUMBER: (619) 450-5039 Sunbell Towing, Inc. PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S): Andrew Jackson Moore DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): WESTERN TOWING VS. MOORE CASE NUMBER: NOTICE OF RESCHEDULED HEARING 37-2008-00000586-SC-SC-CTL

Notice is given that the hearing in the above-entitled case has been rescheduled from 08/08/2008 08:30 AM to date and time shown below. All inquiries regarding this notice should be referred to the court listed above.

TYPE OF HEARING Trial De Novo

DATE 10/03/2008 <u>TIME</u> 08:30 am DEPT C-39

JUDGE William H. Kronberger

ATTN:

Michelle Morelli

SUPCT CIV-700 (Rev. 12-06)

NOH - NOTICE OF RESCHEDULED HEARING

U.S. Party/Case Index All Types Name Search Results

All Types Name Search Results

35 Total Party matches for selection MOORE, ANDREW for CALIFORNIA Search Complete

> Mon Sep 1 14:48:36 2008 Selections 1 through 35 (Page 1)

Download (1 pages \$ 0.00)

Bankruptcy Cases			
Name	Court Case No.	Filed Cha	pter
1 MOORE, ANDREW	casbke 00-00332	01/13/2000 1	3
ANDREW MOORE			
2 MOORE, ANDREW	casbke 02-00939	01/29/2002	7
ANDREW J. MOORE			
3 .			
4 MOORE, ANDREW	<u>casbke</u> 95-13335	12/04/1995 1	.3
ANDREW MOORE		44/00/4000	_
5 MOORE, ANDREW	casbke 99-35575	11/29/1999 1	.3
ANDREW MOORE			
6			
-			
7			
8			
8			
9			
,			
10 MOORE, ANDREW J	casbke 88-08361	10/28/1988	13
ANDREW J MOORE AND DEBRA	J MOORE		
11 MOORE, ANDREW J.	casbke 02-00939	01/29/2002	7
ANDREW J. MOORE			
12 MOORE, ANDREW J.	casbke 00-02009	02/28/2000	13
ANDREW J. MOORE			
13 MOORE, ANDREW J.	casbke 02-90539	11/22/2002 A	AP
UNITED STATES TRUSTEE AND	MOORE		
14 MOORE, ANDREW J.	<u>casbke</u> <u>02-90539</u>	11/22/2002 A	AP
UNITED STATES TRUSTEE AND	MOORE		
15 MOORE, ANDREW JACKSON	casbke 98-07061	05/15/1998	13

ANDREW JACKSON MOORE

16

17

Civil Cases

Name	Court	Case No.	Filed	NOS	Closed
18 MOORE, ANDREW	casdce	3:2007cv00763	04/25/2007	230	05/03/2007
CIP Venture v. COA Financial Group	LLC et	al			
19 MOORE, ANDREW	casdce	3:2008cv01437	08/07/2008	550	
Sunbelt Towing, Inc. v. Moore					
20			•		
21					
22					
23					
			0.7.10.4.14.00.0	222	02/06/1000
24 MOORE, ANDREW J	casdce	3:1998cv00038	03/06/1998	220	03/06/1998
Eric Hanigan Trust v. Moore					00/4//0000
25 MOORE, ANDREW J	casdce	3:2002cv00937	05/13/2002	440	08/14/2002
Moore v. National City					
26 MOORE, ANDREW J	casdce	3:2002cv01075	06/03/2002	290	12/10/2002
Moore v. Bank of New York					0.0/0.4/0.004
27 MOORE, ANDREW J	casdce	3:2001cv01356	07/25/2001	290	08/21/2001
Vargas, et al v. Espinoza, et al					
28 MOORE, ANDREW J		3:2007cv01953	10/05/2007	440	10/12/2007
People of the State of California v. M					
29 MOORE, ANDREW J		3:2007cv02059	10/29/2007	440	11/02/2007
People of the State of California v. N					
30 MOORE, ANDREW J		3:2007cv02384	12/19/2007	440	05/08/2008
People of the State of California v. M					
31 MOORE, ANDREW J.	casdce	3:2007cv02253	11/28/2007	440	04/09/2008
Moore v. Tran et al					
32 MOORE, ANDREW JACKSON	casdce	3:1998cv00338	02/20/1998	220	04/21/1998
Moore v. Nationsbanc Mortgage					
33 MOORE, ANDREW JACKSON	casdce	3:1998cv00434	03/02/1998	220	03/13/1998
Moore v. Hanigan, et al					
34 MOORE, ANDREW JACKSON	casdce	3:1998cv01561	08/27/1998	422	10/16/1998
Hanigan v. Moore, et al					

35 MOORE, ANDREW JACKSON <u>casdce</u> <u>3:1998cv01561</u> 08/27/1998 422 10/16/1998 Hanigan v. Moore, et al

		ervice Cente	one and a second contract of the second contr
	Transac	tion Receipt	
		008 14:48:36	Continuition of the Continuity
PACER Login:	fm1670	Client Code:	
Description:		Search Criteria:	MOORE, ANDREW
Billable Pages:	1	Cost:	0.08

U.S. Party/Case Index - Home

Search: All Court Types | Appellate | Bankruptcy | Civil | Criminal

Reports: Court Code List | Date Range | Courts not on Index | Statistical Reports
User Options: Change Client Code | New Login | Billing History | PSC Home Page |

E-Mail PSC | Logout

?**?**? Help

Appellate Cases

310]

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323 MOORE, ANDREW J.

04-1040 09bap

01/26/2004

04/23/2004

MOORE, et al

324 MOORE, ANDREW J.

04-1040 9bap

01/26/2004

1 04/23/2004

1

MOORE, et al

Particular in character in the character in control in	PACER Se	ervice Cent				
Transaction Receipt						
	09/01/2008 15:09:35					
PACER Login:	fm1670	Client Code:	<u>:</u>			
Description:	All Types srch pg 6	Į.	MOORE, ANDREW			

U.S. Party/Case Index

All Types Name Search Results

339 Total Party matches for selection MOORE, ANDREW for ALL COURTS
Search Complete

Mon Sep 1 15:04:41 2008 Selections 325 through 339 (Page 7)

Download (7 pages \$ 0.00)



Appellate Cases					
325 MOORE, ANDREW J.	<u>09bap</u>	04-1320	06/28/2004	1	10/06/2004
MOORE, et al v. US TRUSTEE					
326 MOORE, ANDREW J.	<u>9bap</u>	04-1320	06/28/2004	1	10/06/2004
MOORE, et al v. US TRUSTEE					
327 MOORE, ANDREW J.	09bap	02-1454	08/20/2002	1	10/15/2002
MOORE					
328 MOORE, ANDREW J.	<u>9bap</u>	02-1454	08/20/2002	1	10/15/2002
MOORE					
329 MOORE, ANDREW J.	09bap	03-1463	09/08/2003	1	04/23/2004
MOORE, et al					
330 MOORE, ANDREW J.	<u>9bap</u>	03-1463	09/08/2003	1	04/23/2004
MOORE, et al					
331 MOORE, ANDREW J.	09bap	04-1479	09/27/2004	1	11/08/2004
MOORE, et al v. U.S. TRUSTEE					
332 MOORE, ANDREW J.	<u>9bap</u>	04-1479	09/27/2004	1	11/08/2004
MOORE, et al v. U.S. TRUSTEE					
333 MOORE, ANDREW J.	<u>09cae</u>	01-56551	09/11/2001	3290	10/23/2001
VARGAS, et al v. MOORE, et al					
334 MOORE, ANDREW J.	<u>09cae</u>	07-56712	11/28/2007	3440	02/20/2008
PEOPLE OF THE ST. CA v. MOOF	E				
335 MOORE, ANDREW JACKSON	I <u>09bap</u>	<u>98-1526</u>	07/27/1998	1	08/24/1998
MOORE, et al v. HANIGAN					
336 MOORE, ANDREW JACKSON	1 <u>9bap</u>	98-1526	07/27/1998	1	08/24/1998
MOORE, et al v. HANIGAN					
337 MOORE, ANDREW JACKSON	I <u>09cae</u>	<u>98-55713</u>	04/23/1998	3220	05/20/1998
MOORE v. HANIGAN, et al					
338 MOORE, ANDREW JACKSON	1 <u>09cae</u>	98-55715	04/24/1998	3220	05/20/1998
HANIGAN v. MOORE					
339					





Court Index Home Previous Page New Case Number Search Print

View Case Detail

Case Title:

MOORE vs MOORE

Case Number: **D31105956**

Case Location: San Diego

File Location

Case Type:

Domestic

Date Filed:

09/24/1990

Category:

A55201

Dissolution of Marriage

	Plaintiff/Petitioner						
	Last Name or Business Name						
ı	MOORE	DEBRA J					

Defendant/Respondent						
Last Name or Business Name	First Name	Primary (P)				
MOORE	ANDREW J					

Microfilm							
Microfilm ID	Location	Reel Number	Frame Number				
This case has not been microfilmed.							

View Case Detail

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

http://courtindex.sdcourt.ca.gov/CISPublic/casedetail?casenum=S592001&casesite=KM&appl...

Print Court Index Home Previous Page New Case Number Search

View Case Detail

CABRILLO FEDERAL CREDIT UNION vs MOORE Case Title: File Location Case Location: Kearny Mesa \$592001 Case Number:

11/18/1992 Date Filed: Ci≺∷ Case Type:

Small Claims A11201 Category:

St Name or Business Name ABRILLO FEDERAL CREDIT UNION P

	Primary (P)	<u> </u>	
Respondent	First Name	DEBRA	ANDREW
Defendant/Respondent	Last Name or Business Name First Name Primary (P)	MOORE	MOORE

	Location Reel Number Frame Number	This case has not been microfilmed.
Microfilm	Reel Nun	not been
	Location	case has
	Microfilm ID	This

View Case Detail

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

http://courtindex.sdcourt.ca.gov/CISPublic/casedetail?casenum=EC14487&casesite=EC&appl...

Print Court Index Home Previous Page New Case Number Search

View Case Detail

DIEDERICH vs MOORE Case Title: Case Location: East County EC14487 Case Number:

File Location

03/21/1997 Date Filed: Civil Case Type: **Prohibit Civil Harassment** A61710 Category:

Primary (P)	<u>a</u>
First Name	BARB.
Last Name or Business Name First Name Primary (P)	DIEDERICH

	Primary (P)	<u>n</u>	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
t/Respondent	First Name	ANDREW	ANITA B.
Defendant/Respondent	Last Name or Business Name First Name Primary (P)	MOORE	MYERS

	Frame N	filmed.
E	Reel Number	een
_	Location	case has
	ofilm IC	This

http://courtindex.sdcourt.ca.gov/CISPublic/casedetail?casenum=712106&casesite=SD&applc...

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

Court Index Home Previous Page New Case Number Search Print

View Case Detail

Case Title: MOORE vs NATIONSBANC MORTGAGE CORPORATION

Case Number: 712106 Case Location: San Diego

File Location

Case Type: Civil Date Filed:

07/03/1997

Category: A60608 Injunction

Eirst Name Primary (P ANDREW JACKSON P	Plainti	Plaintiff/Petitioner	
RE ANDREW JACKSON P	Last Name or Business Name		Primary (P)
	RE	W JACKSON	a

	First Name Primary (P)	<u> </u>	
Defendant/Respondent		NATIONSBANC MORTGAGE CORPORATION	UNIFIED MORTGAGE/NATIONSBANC

er û roa Frankûn in	>	Microfilm	
Microfilm ID	Location	Reel Number	Reel Number Frame Number
	SD-OREC	10259	9000

9/1/2008 12:26 PM

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

Print Court Index Home Previous Page New Case Number Search

View Case Detail

MOORE vs NATIONSBANC Case Title: Case Location: San Diego 714223 Case Number:

File Location

09/19/1997 Date Filed: Civi Case Type:

Injunction A60608 Category:

lant/Re	First 1	<u>a</u>	3E CORPORATION P
Ω	Last Name or Business Name	NATIONSBANC	NATIONSBANC MORTGAGE CORPORATION

and the state of t	2	Microfilm	
Microfilm ID	ocation	Reel Number	rame Numbe
O	SD-OREC		

View Case Detail

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

http://courtindex.sdcourt.ca.gov/CISPublic/casedetail?casenum=714363&casesite=SD&applc...

Print New Case Number Search Court Index Home Previous Page

View Case Detail

MOORE vs NATIONSBANC MORTAGE CORPORATION Case Title:

San Diego Case Location: 714363 Case Number:

File Location

09/25/1997 Date Filed: Civil Case Type:

Quiet Title A60408 Category:

	Primary (P)	<u>a</u>
Plaintiff/Petitioner	First Name	ANDREW JACKSON
Plaint	siness Name	MOORE

First Name Primary (P)	Defendant/Respondent Last Name or Business Name NATIONSBANC MORTAGE CORPORATION NATIONSBANC MORTGAGE CORPORATION
------------------------	--

	Reel Number Frame Number	- 1 - November 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
Microfilm	Reel Number	
	Location	SD-OREC
	Microfilm ID	

File Location

View Case Detail

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

http://courtindex.sdcourt.ca.gov/CISPublic/casedetail?casenum=720392&casesite=SD&applc...

Print Court Index Home Previous Page New Case Number Search

View Case Detail

MOORE vs MUNICIPAL COURT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO JUDICIAL DISTRICT Case Title:

San Diego Case Location: 720392 Case Number:

Writs of Mandamus, Prohib. Date Filed: A61707 Ci≺i Case Type: Category:

05/05/1998

Plaintiff/Petitioner	<u>_</u>	
Last Name or Business Name	First Name	Primary (P)
MOORE	ANDREW J	A
ANDREW J MOORE AND ASSOCIATES		

Defendant/Respondent		:
Last Name or Business Name	First Name	First Name Primary (P)
MUNICIPAL COURT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO JUDICIAL DISTRICT		_
HANIGAN	ERIC	one and a second
KELTON TRUST		

crofilm ID	Location	Reel Number	Frame Number
	SD-OREC		

http://courtindex.sdcourt.ca.gov/CISPublic/casedetail?casenum=724703&casesite=SD&applc...

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

Court Index Home Previous Page New Case Number Search Print

View Case Detail

Case Title: HANIGAN vs MOORE

Case Number: 724703 Case Location: San Diego File Location

Case Type: Civil Date Filed: 10/07/1998

Category: A68611 Appeals (Civil)

Plaintiff/Petitioner	itioner	
Last Name or Business Name First Name Primary (P)	First Name	Primary (P)
HANIGAN	ERIC	<u> </u>
KELTON TRUST 6/18/97		

	Primary (P)	a
spondent	First Name	ANDREW J
nt/Re	Last Name or Business Name First Name	MOORE

·vyet:	2		
Microfilm ID	Location	Reel Number	Reel Number Frame Number
	SD-OREC		

View Case Detail

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

http://courtindex.sdcourt.ca.gov/CISPublic/casedetail?casenum=GIE005307&casesite=EC&ap...

Print Court Index Home Previous Page New Case Number Search

View Case Detail

VARGAS vs ESPINOZA Case Title: Case Location: East County GIE005307 Case Number:

File Location

01/24/2001 Date Filed: Civil Case Type: Mechanic's Lien Foreclosure A60305 Category:

Plaintiff/Petitioner		
Last Name or Business Name Fil	First Name Primary (P)	Primary (P)
VARGAS	SERGIO	Ω.
CALIFORNIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY		t plane to 1 most

	Defendant/Respondent	
Last Name or Business Name First Name	First Name	Primary (P)
ESPINOZA	LUCIA	Q _
ALLEN	RAUL	
ALLEN	RAUL, TRUSTEE	
MOORE	ANDREW	
SIMON	TONY	
SIMON	TONY, TRUSTEE OF THE NORMA SIMON TRUST	

Location case has	Microfilm ID This	Microfilm	Location	case has not been microfilme
Aicrofilm Reel Number not been micro	Microfilm Location Reel Number case has not been micro		Frame Number	ofilmed.
	Location case has	Microfilm	Reel Number	not been micro



Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

<u>Court Index Home</u> <u>Previous Page</u> <u>New Case Number Search</u> <u>Print</u>

View Case Detail

Case Title:

DEFENDANT ANDREW JACKSON MOORE

Case Number:

SCD209248 Case Location: San Diego

File Location

Case Type:

Criminal

Date Filed:

09/27/2007

Last Name MOORE		•	∃AKA	DA Number	
MOORE		First Name		-, (; (0111001	
	ANDREW	ANDREW JACKSON		ACC99801	
		Microfilm		eri e. a. e. e. eara ra ar arne brita ay badhy nbasady by na yée ey	
Microfilm ID	Location	Reel Number	er Fra	ame Number	

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

1

http://courtindex.sdcourt.ca.gov/CISPublic/casedetail?casenum=U064630&casesite=SD&appl...

Print New Case Number Search Court Index Home Previous Page

View Case Detail

HANIGAN vs MOORE Case Title:

Case Location: U064630 Case Number:

File Location

San Diego

12/05/1997

Date Filed: Civil Case Type:

Category:

Unlawful Detainer - Residential A60532

Primary (P) Last Name or Business Name First Name ERIC Plaintiff/Petitioner HANIGAN

Primary (P) ANDREW J First Name ANITA M Defendant/Respondent Last Name or Business Name BLEECKER MOORE

Microfilm ID | Location | Reel Number | Frame Number This case has not been microfilmed. Microfilm

9/1/2008 12:24 PM

Superior Court of California, County of San Diego

Print New Case Number Search Previous Page Court Index Home

View Case Detail

Case Title:

WESTERN TOWING VS. ANDREW JACKSON MOORE 37-2008-00000586-SC-SC-CTL Case Number:

SC-SC Civil Case Type: Category:

Case Location: Kearny Mesa Date Filed:

Small Claims

02/04/2008

File Location

Plaintiff/Petitioner

SUNBELT TOWING, INC

Last Name or Business Name First Name | Primary (P)

MOORE

ANDREW JACKSON Defendant/Respondent Last Name or Business Name | First Name

Primary (P)

This case has not been microfilmed.

Microfilm

Microfilm ID | Location | Reel Number | Frame Number

Opposition to Movant MOORE's Motion for Summary Judgment, Alternative Motion to Quash, or

12

13

19

16

23

26

Alternative Order to Show re: Dismissal ("MSJ") is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Lien Sale served on Mr. MOORE on or about December 26, 2007.

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit C pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit C is a document filed with a public agency, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by the Department of Motor Vehicles' Lien Sale Section. Further, WESTERN has attached and submitted a United States Postal Service-stamped certificate of mailing to MOORE in the pending action.

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact regarding WESTERN's compliance with California Civil Code § 3072.

Submitted herewith as "Exhibit D" to Respondent's Index of Exhibits in Exhibit D: Opposition to Movant MOORE's MSJ is a true and correct copy of the Written Instructions WESTERN received from the California Department of Motor Vehicles, dated January 15, 2008.

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit D pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit D is a document issued by a public agency, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by the Department of Motor Vehicles' Lien Sale Section.

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact

6

13

14

19

22

regarding whether the matter pending before this Court has 1) already been adjudicated by, and/or (2) is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the California State Courts.

Submitted herewith as "Exhibit E" to Respondent's Index of Exhibits in Exhibit E: Opposition to Movant MOORE's MSJ is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Claim and Order to go to Small Claims Court, dated February 4, 2008.

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit E pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit E is a public record, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by San Diego Superior Court (Small Claims). Further, MOORE has previously acknowledged the authenticity of this document by filing it with the Court with his notice of removal on or about August 7, 2008.

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact regarding whether the matter pending before this Court has 1) already been adjudicated by, and/or (2) is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the California State Courts.

Submitted herewith as "Exhibit F" to Respondent's Index of Exhibits in Exhibit F: Opposition to Movant MOORE's MSJ is a true and correct copy of MOORE's Request for Reset or Continuance of Small Claims Trial, dated February 29, 2008.

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit F pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit F is a public record, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by San Diego Superior Court (Small Claims).

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact regarding whether the matter pending before this Court has 1) already been adjudicated by, and/or (2) is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the California State Courts.

Exhibit G: Submitted herewith as "Exhibit G" to Respondent's Index of Exhibits in Opposition to Movant MOORE's MSJ is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Entry of Judgment, and Notice to the Judgment Debtor (signed by MOORE June 13, 2008).

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit G pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit G is a public record, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by San Diego Superior Court (Small Claims).

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact regarding whether the matter pending before this Court has 1) already been adjudicated by, and/or (2) is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the California State Courts.

Submitted herewith as "Exhibit H" to Respondent's Index of Exhibits in Exhibit H: Opposition to Movant MOORE's MSJ is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing re: Trial De Novo August 8, 2008, received by WESTERN from the State Court; and Data Sheet for Small Claims Appeals.

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit H pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose

4 5

11

12

8

17

19 20

18

22

25 26

27

accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit H is a public record, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by San Diego Superior Court.

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact regarding whether the matter pending before this Court has 1) already been adjudicated by, and/or (2) is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the California State Courts.

Exhibit I: Submitted herewith as "Exhibit I" to Respondent's Index of Exhibits in Opposition to Movant MOORE's MSJ is a true and correct copy of A true and correct copy of the Civil Cover Sheet and Notice of Removal State Court Civil Proceeding filed by MOORE on or about August 7, 2008.

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit I pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit I is a public record, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by this Court.

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact regarding whether this matter was properly removed from State Court.

Exhibit J: Submitted herewith as "Exhibit J" to Respondent's Index of Exhibits in Opposition to Movant MOORE's MSJ is a true and correct copy of the Notice of Hearing re: Trial De Novo October 3, 2008 received by WESTERN from the State Court.

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit I pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose

8

12

17

21

26 27

accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit J is a public record, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by San Diego Superior Court.

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact regarding whether the matter pending before this Court has 1) already been adjudicated by, and/or (2) is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the California State Courts.

Exhibit K: Submitted herewith as "Exhibit K" to Respondent's Index of Exhibits in Opposition to Movant MOORE's MSJ is a true and correct copy of the Public Record print out regarding Federal Court Actions involving Andrew Jackson Moore.

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit K pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit K is contains public records, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by this Court.

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact regarding the MOORE's abuse of the legal process and evaluation of WESTERN's request for sanctions.

Submitted herewith as "Exhibit L" to Respondent's Index of Exhibits in Exhibit L: Opposition to Movant MOORE's MSJ is a true and correct copy of the Public Record print out regarding State Court Actions involving Andrew Jackson Moore.

WESTERN requests this Court take judicial notice of the existence of Exhibit L pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence § 201, subsection (b) provides: a judicially noticed fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is either (1) generally known within the territorial jurisdiction of the trial court or (2) capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose

accuracy cannot be reasonably questioned." Exhibit L is a public record, the veracity of which is capable of immediate and accurate determination upon authentication by San Diego Superior Court.

Copies of the aforementioned exhibit is attached to the Index of Exhibits submitted concurrently herewith by WESTERN. The item requested to be noticed is relevant to the MSJ filed by MOORE because it provides necessary evidentiary support to create a triable issue of fact regarding MOORE's abuse of the legal process.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES

Documents such as those requested above under Exhibits C - L plainly are the type of documents that are judicially noticeable by this Court, and WESTERN submits that good cause has been demonstrated for its request for judicial notice to be granted.

Accordingly, Respondent WESTERN respectfully requests that this Court take judicial notice of the documents requested herein, outlined and attached to the Index of Exhibits concurrently filed herewith.

DECLARATION OF MICHELLE I MORELLI

I, MICHELLE I MORELLI, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at law, duly licensed to practice in the State of California, and an associate of the law firm of Fredrickson, Mazeika & Grant, LLP, attorneys of record for Respondent WESTERN. Either I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein or, as stated below, am informed and believed thereto, and if called as witness, could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. Each of the documents attached to the Index of Exhibits, and for which judicial notice is requested as Exhibits C L is a true and correct copy of the document it is represented to comprise.

I declare, under penalty of perjury of the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed this 1st day of September 2008, at San Diego, California.

MICHELLE L'MORELL